# FEDERAL PROGRAM MONITORING SELF-ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT

2004-2005



# **Continuous Improvement Monitoring Process**

Regulations Governing Special Education Programs for Children with Disabilities, 8 VAC 20-80-10

Virginia Department of Education Division of Educational Accountability

School Division
Date

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction
Virginia's Federal Program Monitoring System: Transforming an Event Into a Meaningful Process
Phase I: The Self-Assessment Process
Phase II: Review and Implementation5
Phase III: Verification of Corrective Actions
Appeal Process
Instructions for Completing the Self-Assessment
Program Improvement Planning 9
Reporting Documents:
Self-Assessment Process Summary Report
Submission of Reports
Technical Assistance
The Self-Assessment Instrument

### VIRGINIA-S FEDERAL PROGRAM MONITORING SYSTEM: TRANSFORMING AN EVENT INTO A MEANINGFUL PROCESS

The Virginia Department of Education (DOE) maintains the responsibility for the adoption and use of effective methods to monitor compliance with federal and state requirements and to ensure the correction of deficiencies in program operations. As the Department carries out this task, it remains focused on its primary goal: to raise academic performance for all children in the Commonwealth and to effect greater accountability for public education.

Virginia's monitoring system has been effective in maintaining procedural compliance with federal and state regulations; however, the process routinely has not been used to analyze results, implement change, and evaluate the impact on student achievement. With federal initiatives to progress from procedural compliance monitoring to a system that focuses on program effectiveness and student results, the Virginia Department of Education strives toward employment of a model that emphases a systemic approach to improve and sustain the system and to positively effect student success. The use of such a model will advance and validate the school division's role in the process; thus, shifting the existing paradigm from an episodic procedural monitoring view to one of active strategic planning and continuous improvement by all parties involved.

Phase I of the monitoring process requires school divisions to conduct a self-assessment and take the necessary steps to correct any identified deficiencies and address areas of concern for program improvement. Phase II requires the Department of Education to review and analyze the self-assessment document along with other relevant data regarding the school division. That analysis is used in determining whether or not there is a need for any further monitoring by the Department of Education staff through an on-site visitation. Phase III requires the Department of Education to conduct timely follow-up with school divisions on the implementation of corrective actions based on mutually-agreed timelines.

### Objectives of the Revised Monitoring System

- To move from an event to a meaningful and continuous process that focuses on student results
- To connect procedural compliance to overall school improvement efforts
- To empower school divisions in the assessment, implementation, and evaluation of their local programs
- To provide support and assistance to school divisions in the areas needed

### Characteristics of the Revised Monitoring System

- Strong and diverse customer input
- Effective methods for determining compliance with an emphasis on requirements that relate most directly to continuous improvement in learner results
- Prompt identification and correction of deficiencies
- Monitoring requirements and procedures to yield improved access and results for students rather than simple "paper compliance"

### Components of the Monitoring System

- Self-assessment by school divisions
- Opportunity for greater community and parental involvement
- Opportunity to enhance the collaborative relationship between school divisions, parents, and the DOE
- Desk analysis of relevant data by DOE staff to determine compliance and the need for any further monitoring
- A mechanism to analyze various data sources including the results of the self-assessment, focusing on program effectiveness and student results
- Individualized on-site reviews by DOE staff when conditions are warranted
- Timely follow up by DOE staff on the implementation of corrective actions

### FEDERAL PROGRAM MONITORING

Ideally, What is Monitoring?

Monitoring is an "administrative system of measures and procedures that supports the assurance that programs and services comply with requirements. When noncompliance is detected, corrective actions are implemented within a reasonable period."

### <u>Processes</u>

- v Data-Driven
- V Integrated into routines
- V Involves stakeholders
- V Fair (No gotchas)

### **Products**

- V Assurances of protection and effectiveness
- V Strategic use of limited resources
- V Continuous improvement
- V Meaningful and timely corrective actions

# PHASE I: THE SELF-ASSESSMENT PROCESS Data Collection, Analysis and Planning

Phase I of the monitoring process consists of a self-assessment of programs by school divisions. The Department of Education provides the self-assessment instruments for each program. The self-assessment instrument requires an assessment of each state and federal requirement. When school divisions determine that they are not meeting a specific requirement, immediate action is needed to correct the situation to ensure that all eligible children receive the required services. When concerns are identified, yet the requirement is being met, the school division should seek means to address the issue(s).

The self-assessment should be used to facilitate program improvement efforts. School divisions are encouraged to analyze procedural data as a whole, examine other data sources, identify program issues, and reflect on how the school division will strategically improve program effectiveness. School divisions are to evaluate strategies they have employed and address specific areas that significantly influence program outcomes and student results such as staff development, curriculum and instruction, and parental involvement. Based on research, it has been determined that these are key areas that strongly influence academic achievement and program outcomes.

School divisions are given flexibility in conducting their self-assessments. However, the following components are essential: (1) a diverse committee to do the assessment, including both special and regular education teachers, administrators, support personnel, and community representatives (2) strong parental input and (3) adequate review of documentation through interviews, records reviews, observations, etc., to determine that the school division is meeting each requirement.

Any one record found in noncompliance must be corrected. The review committee should be mindful of the over-all purpose of the process—to ensure compliance with requirements and better student results.

### Guiding Principles for the Special Education Review

Major goals that should guide the special education self-assessment and program improvement are as follows:

- All individuals' unique instructional needs will be accurately identified.
- All individuals with disabilities will be served or taught by fully qualified personnel.
- All individuals with disabilities will be successfully integrated with their nondisabled peers throughout their educational experience.

- All individuals with disabilities will meet high standards for academic and nonacademic skills.
- All individuals with disabilities will successfully participate in preparation for the workplace and independent living.

### Benefits of the Self-Assessment

Some valuable benefits can be derived from the self-assessment. The self-assessment:

- Facilitates a shared responsibility in the implementation of a comprehensive accountability system
- Identifies areas needing improvement which allows priorities to be set, technical assistance needs to be determined, and a plan of action to be developed
- Provides a better understanding of state and federal requirements
- Enhances the opportunity for school divisions to identify and promptly correct unmet requirements
- Permits less intrusive state monitoring

### PHASE II: REVIEW AND IMPLEMENTATION

Phase II of the monitoring process requires the Department of Education's monitoring staff to review the school division's completed self-assessment and program improvement plan; evaluate the corrective actions needed; and conduct an on-site review as needed. In addition, staff members assess other relevant data sources including the division's local plan for special education, the department's child count data, complaints and due process files, previous monitoring and follow-up reports, mediation issues, and teacher licensure status. The process also involves an analysis of available data including graduation rates, dropout rates, suspension and expulsion, and participation in the state assessment program.

Upon completion of the data analyses, a determination is made as to the scope and intensity of the department's on-site review. The on-site reviews are designed according to the results of the data review; however, there are identified major areas of concentration or predetermined "focus areas." These "focus areas" include requirements that were cited in other divisions three or more times during the previous year; requirements frequently cited in the department's complaints and due process files; mediation issues; requirements previously cited by the U. S. Office of Education; and requirements identified through concerns from the department's special education technical assistance staff, parents, and special interest groups.

School divisions are required to implement prompt corrective action when it is determined that requirements are not being met; therefore, during the on-site visitation, the initial task of the reviewer or review team, is to verify that the division has made the necessary corrections to address the areas cited during the self-assessment. When a division fails to correct identified areas of noncompliance; the school division is in jeopardy of loosing special education funds.

### The On-site Review

The division superintendent is notified of the monitoring schedule for the year in the early fall. The monitoring specialist coordinating the review works with the local program administrator to develop a schedule of activities needed to conduct the review. Activities may include reviewing student records, interviewing staff, parents, and students, making classroom and building observations, and attending a public meeting with the local advisory committee members and parents. A copy of the monitoring schedule is made available at least two weeks in advance.

When it is determined that an on-site review is appropriate, the visit is planned according to the results of the Department's data analysis. The results may warrant a comprehensive on-site review of all aspects of the program or a modified review encompassing one or more targeted areas. The on-site review will also focus on verifying whether or not the school division has implemented corrective actions for deficiencies identified during their self-assessment. The amount of time spent in a school division will vary according to the size of the division and the issues of concern.

At the conclusion of DOE's on-site review, a preliminary oral report is given to the division superintendent or designee, the program administrator and other select staff. A written report of findings is provided to the division superintendent within four to six weeks. The report includes a brief summary of the review process; identification of unmet requirements identified by the school division in the self-assessment, provided that the school division has not made the necessary correction(s); identification of unmet requirements identified by DOE's review team and recommended corrective action; and some recommendations for further improvement.

### PHASE III: VERIFICATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Phase III of the monitoring process involves any necessary follow-up and verification that the division has implemented the necessary actions for compliance. The verification process ensures followup on deficiencies identified by school divisions during their self-assessment and any compliance issues discovered during the department's on-site review. The verification process begins with the department's initial on-site review; therefore, it should not be interpreted that it occurs during the third year of the process. Verification may require submission of documentation and/or additional on-site visitations by the Department of Education.

When a school division fails to correct deficiencies after a reasonable period, the Department of Education has the authority to impose sanctions, which could result in withholding funds. If the school division should disagree with the DOE's findings or imposed sanction for failure to comply with federal and state requirements, the division may request an appeal.

#### APPEAL PROCESS

The division superintendent may appeal any actions imposed as a result of findings from the federal program monitoring system. If the matter remains unresolved after informal review, or if the division superintendent wishes to formally appeal, he or she may submit a written request to the director of federal program monitoring. The written request must be postmarked within 15 calendar days of the date of the monitoring report. The school administrator may refute the action taken by DOE in person and/or by written documentation. Within 15 calendar days of the written appeal request, the director of federal program monitoring advises the school division in writing of DOE's decision reaffirming the original finding or changing the finding. The decision is based on a review of additional information provided by the school division, and, if needed, further review of data collected during the review process.

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE SELF-ASSESSMENT

### Coordination of Monitoring Activities

Each division superintendent should designate an individual to coordinate the division's monitoring activities. The coordinator should ensure the following:

- The division has a well-planned procedure for conducting the self-assessment and solicits input from the community
- The division has identified a strong diverse self-assessment committee
- The division has developed a program improvement plan to address identified deficiencies
- The division corrects identified deficiencies in a timely manner
- The self-assessment report is reviewed with the division superintendent, local advisory board, and others as appropriate

### The Self-Assessment Process

School divisions are given flexibility in determining how the division will conduct the self-assessment. A school-by-school assessment is recommended; however, that type of review would need to be compiled into a single division-wide report. School divisions are encouraged to establish a diverse committee to conduct the review. The committee composition may include representatives of the following: school administrators (including building principals), teachers, parents, local advisory committee, support personnel, and other individuals as appropriate. Team members should be knowledgeable about the program and have an understanding of program requirements. The number of individuals on the committee may vary depending on the size of the schools, school division, and program.

Each federal and state requirement has been identified in the self-assessment document. Careful examination of the compliance questions or statements should be made before determining whether the school division is meeting the requirement. The sources of documentation to verify compliance should be indicated in the space provided in the self-assessment booklet. When the self-assessment committee determines that a school or the school division is not meeting a requirement, the school division is to provide an explanation in the comment section. The comment section may be used to make any additional remarks that will help the department staff to further understand or assess the situation. Additional sheets for explanations or comments may be attached as needed.

#### PROGRAM IMPROVEMENT PLANNING

In line with recent federal initiatives, the Department of Education is continually striving to move the current monitoring process to a system that empowers local divisions to focus on program effectiveness and student results. Through various components such as data collection and self-evaluation, divisions identify problems, develop and initiate improvement strategies, and verify their effectiveness.

As part of the federal program monitoring process, local divisions are asked to complete the Program Improvement Planning process for the special education program. This process consists of two parts, Part A: Corrective Action Planning, and Part B: Planning for Program Effectiveness. This information will be useful in responding to new requirements of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and to the State Special Education Program Improvement Plan. More importantly, school divisions will have a valuable source of data to enhance their special education program. If the self-assessment committee determines that the division is not fully meeting a requirement, an approach to correct the issue must be established. The action should include all areas identified by the committee as needing attention. The action must also include the following: (1) identification of the unmet requirement or area of concern (2) specific corrective action taken or planned (3) implementation date, person responsible, and (4) method to ensure full implementation. A suggested format for planning a corrective action is presented in this section.

Planning for program effectiveness should not simply be an activity to complete, but a procedure that supports learning and the ongoing improvement of the program. The planning process should identify important, long-range improvement issues and describe a specific set of actions to be undertaken relative to these issues. The process is not just a document that simply identifies "what" the areas targeted for improvement will be; it is a document that details "how" the division intends to make the desired improvements. In order to impact program effectiveness each local divisions planning process should:

- Identify improvement goals
- Identify one or more performance indicators to evaluate progress toward the goal
- Identify improvement strategies/activities focusing on specific areas determined to have significant impact on student achievement
- Set timelines
- Identify resources

### **Identifying Improvement Goals**

The goals a school division develops for their plan should be in an area that the division seeks to improve. Improvement issues can be the natural outgrowth of where the divisions' program is today and what it wants to become in the future. For this to happen, the division must first assess its present status and have established a vision for the future.

There are internal and external factors that should be examined to help identify improvement issues. Internal factors deal with issues that are within the district's direct control and may include, but are not limited to:

- < Graduation rates
- < Drop-out rates
- Classification rates (disability categories)
- < Procedural compliance results

External factors generally deal with issues that are outside the district's direct control, but should be recognized in the district's planning efforts. They may include, but are not limited to:

- < Standards of Learning
- < State and federal program requirements
- < Demographic changes

Using such data sources allows the division to analyze existing gaps between "what is and "what is desired." After such an analysis, a division should be able to identify the critical issues and formulate goal statements for their program plan. Goal statements should correlate to student achievement along with the promotion of the general education curriculum and the integration of special education students with non-disabled peers. Each goal should be measurable and written in terms of expected outcomes.

### **Identifying Performance Indicators**

As a necessary component of the planning process, performance indicators should be established to accurately evaluate the goal statement. Such performance indicators should capture the essence of the established goal(s). In developing the plan, divisions may develop their own performance indicators or draw them from the state's goals.

### Identifying Improvement Strategies/Activities

Improvement strategies/activities are short or long-term procedures that foster implementation of the goal statement. While the goal defines "what" the district intends to do, strategies describe "how" the district will accomplish it. Identification of strategies should break the goal down into components that will be implemented. Strategies employed should also describe the actions a division will take to address specific areas deemed to have significant impact on student achievement. Currently identified through federal initiatives and IDEA legislation, parental involvement, curriculum and instruction, and staff development are focus areas that strongly influence program effectiveness and student results. Specific timelines, not a general date as "next school year," must be set for the implementation of each identified action.

### Resources Needed

Resources needed should be identified in the plan. Various sources can be listed including state and federal funding sources, and community and regional resources. The plan should describe how a district will apply its financial and personnel resources to realize improvement outcomes.

To complete Part B of the program improvement planning process (1) analyze the compliance findings from your self-assessment (2) examine other available relevant data sources (3) identify a program area(s) of concern and (4) describe how the school division will improve the effectiveness of the program. A suggested format for planning for program improvement is presented in this section.

# THE SELF-ASSESSMENT PROCESS SUMMARY REPORT

1.	Give a brief description of your self-assessment process.
2.	Identify the composition of the self-assessment committee (names and title/position).
3.	Describe how parental input was obtained. (If surveys were used, estimate the number issued and the number returned.)
4.	Identify strengths or exemplary practices recognized by the self-assessment committee.
5.	Describe data sources used in the assessment process.

### **EVALUATION OF THE SELF-ASSESSMENT PROCESS**

Your suggestions and recommendations will help us to improve the Department of Education's monitoring system. Please respond to each of the following questions. You may attach additional comments. Thank you.

1.	Did the Department respond to your request for assistance in a timely manner? Were your concerns or needs addressed?
2.	What changes would you make to the self-assessment document to provide for better understanding or clarification of the requirements?
3.	How useful was the self-assessment process to your school division?
4.	What challenges or barriers did you experience?
5.	What suggestions would you offer to school divisions entering the self-assessment process?
6.	Do you have other suggestions or recommendations to improve the process?

# STATEMENT OF ASSURANCE

I certify that the School Division has conducted a				
comprehensive self-assessment of the special education program and has developed a plan of				
action to address each unmet requirement and a corrective action.	ssures timely implementation of necessary			
Signature				
-	Division Superintendent			
	Date			
Signature				
Ç	Director/Supervisor of Special Education			
	Date			

# FEDERAL PROGRAM MONITORING PROGRAM IMPROVEMENT PLANNING

# SPECIAL EDUCATION PART A: CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

School Division	Date
Selicol Bivision	Bute

*Unmet requirement(s) and areas of concern	Specific corrective action taken or activities planned	Timelinedate corrected or planned	Person responsible	Method to monitor compliance

<sup>\*</sup>Please identify unmet requirement(s) to correspond with the numbered standard(s) in the self-assessment instrument.

### FEDERAL PROGRAM MONITORING PROGRAM IMPROVEMENT PLANNING SPECIAL EDUCATION

### PART B: PLANNING FOR PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS

School Division	Date
-----------------	------

Note: This section may correspond with the LEA's Local Improvement Plan (SLIVER Grant). Division's Program Goals Improvement Strategies/Activities Timelines Needed Resources Goal #1: Parental Involvement Goal #2: Professional Development Goal #3: Curriculum/Instruction (including transition, technology) Goal #4: (Other)

### **SUBMISSION OF REPORTS**

Please return <u>two</u> copies of your self-assessment report to the Department of Education on or before May 15, 2005. You may contact Sandra E. Ruffin if some additional time is needed. Each self-assessment document must include the following:

- (1) An assessment of each requirement
- (2) A program improvement or corrective action plan addressing identified issues
- (3) Program summary report and evaluation
- (4) Signed statement of assurance

### Mail reports to:

Dr. Sandra E. Ruffin, Director Federal Program Monitoring Virginia Department of Education P. O. Box 2120 Richmond, VA 23218-2120

Telephone No.: (804) 225-2768; E-mail: <a href="mailto:sruffin@mail.vak12ed.edu">sruffin@mail.vak12ed.edu</a>

#### TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

Specialists in the Office of Federal Program Monitoring are available to provide, upon request, technical assistance throughout the monitoring process. School administrators may contact the assigned specialists as printed below or you may contact the director of federal program monitoring.

Dr. Tyrone Bailey (804) 786-6618 tbailey@mail.vak12ed.edu	Dr. James Brashears (804) 225-3138 jbrashea@mail.vak12ed.edu	To be Assigned (Position currently vacant) (804) 786-6765
Buchanan	Campbell	Amherst
Henry	Floyd	Buena Vista
King George	King & Queen	King William
Norfolk	Mecklenburg	Patrick
Spotsylvania	Portsmouth	Prince Edward
Suffolk	Russell	Radford
Winchester	Tazewell	Colonial Beach
	West Point	

# FEDERAL PROGRAM MONITORING SELF-ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT

2004-2005



# **Continuous Improvement Monitoring Process**

Regulations Governing Special Education Programs for Children with Disabilities, 8 VAC 20-80-10

Virginia Department of Education Division of Educational Accountability

School Division	
 Date	

# SELF-ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT 2004-2005

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

8 VAC 20-80-45	Special Education Staffing2	20
8 VAC 20-80-50	Child Find	21
8 VAC 20-80-52	Referral for Evaluation	24
8 VAC 20-80-54	Evaluation	25
8 VAC 20-80-56	Eligibility	30
8 VAC 20-80-58	Termination of Special Education and Related Services	34
8 VAC 20-80-60	Free Appropriate Public Education	35
8 VAC 20-80-62	Individualized Education Program	38
8 VAC 20-80-64	Least Restrictive Environment and Placements	.48
8 VAC 20-80-65	Placement of Children at the Virginia School for the Deaf and Blind (Staunton) or the Virginia School for the Deaf, Blind and Multi-Disabled (Hampton)	.51
8-VAC 20-80-66	Private School Placements	51
8 VAC 20-80-68	Discipline	53
8 VAC 20-80-70	Procedural Safeguards	59
8 VAC 20-80-72	Transfer of Rights to Students (Age of Majority)	67
8 VAC 20-80-74	Mediation	67
8 VAC 20-80-76	Due Process Hearing	67
8 VAC 20-80-78	Complaints	69
8 VAC 20-80-80	Surrogate Parents	70
8 VAC 20-80-90	Local Educational Agency Administration and Governance	71

### REQUIREMENTS FOR REVIEW

<u>Note</u>: The page numbers reference the section where the requirements can be found in the <u>Regulations Governing Special Education Programs for Children with Disabilities in Virginia</u>, effective March 27, 2002.

# **DOCUMENTATION**

(check those used)

### 8 VAC 20-80-45 SPECIAL EDUCATION STAFFING p. 20

Special education caseloads for preschool and to 21, inclusive, are consistent with requirement.	Staff credentialsConditional license requestsVDOE approvalStaff rosters IEPs	
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Are there any concerns?	Yes No Yes No	Child count Other
Comment:		
Alternative staffing plans have been approved different from those specified in the regulation		Teacher interviewsClass rostersAlternative staffing requestsVDOE approval
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Are there any concerns?	Yes No Yes No	Other
Comment:		
3. Requests to waive qualification requirements the requirements for providing interpreting ser within 30 days of each person's initial or conti interpreting services.	vices are submitted to VDOE	Staff credentialsWaiver requestVDOE approvalStaff interviewsOther
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Are there any concerns?	Yes No Yes No	
Comment:		
4. All personnel providing special education or r VDOE issued teaching license with the appropeducation area for the position assigned.		Staff credentialsConditional license requestsVDOE approvalOther
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Are there any concerns?	Yes No Yes No	
Comment:		

# 8 VAC 20-80-50 CHILD FIND p. 22

Α.	<b>Target</b>	Ages

5. 7	The local school division maintains an active and	d continuing child find	Child find procedures
	program designed to identify, locate, and evaluate	_	Child find activities
within its jurisdiction (birth to 21, inclusive) who are in need of special			Child find communication
education and related services, including children who:			Rosters (suspected enrollees)
`		No	Parent input (home schooling)
	103	110	LAC involvement
,	a. are highly mobile, such as migrant and home	eless children:	Other
"	a. are mighty moone, such as inigiant and non-	ciess cilitaren,	Other
	Vec	No	
	103	110	
۱ ،	b. attend private schools, including children wh	no are home instructed or	
	home-tutored;	no are nome-manueled of	
		No	
	163_	140	
	c. are suspected of being children with disabili	ties and in need of special	
	education, even though they are advancing		
	education, even though they are advancing h	from grade to grade, and	
	Vac	No	
	ies_	No	
_	1 101 1 1		
	d. are under age 18 who are suspected of havir		
	special education and related services and w		
	regional or local jail in its jurisdiction for 10	or more days.	
	<b>V</b>	NI -	
	ies_	No	
T .1	1 1'4 4' 1 4 64 ' 49	37 N	
	e locality meeting each part of the requirement?	Yes No	
Are t	here any concerns?	Yes No	
Com	ma a m t a		
Com	ment:		
Com	ment:		
			December of contracts
6. 7	The local school division coordinates child find	activities for infants and	Records of contacts
6. T	The local school division coordinates child find toddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Par	activities for infants and	Interview with child find
6. T	The local school division coordinates child find	activities for infants and	Interview with child find coordinator
6. T	The local school division coordinates child find toddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Parcoordinating council.	activities for infants and rt C local interagency	Interview with child find coordinatorInteragency agreements
6. To	The local school division coordinates child find toddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Parcoordinating council.	activities for infants and t C local interagency  Yes No	Interview with child find coordinator
6. To	The local school division coordinates child find toddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Parcoordinating council.	activities for infants and rt C local interagency	Interview with child find coordinatorInteragency agreements
6. To the Are to	The local school division coordinates child find toddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Parcoordinating council.  e locality meeting the requirement?  there any concerns?	activities for infants and rt C local interagency  Yes No	Interview with child find coordinatorInteragency agreements
6. To the Are to	The local school division coordinates child find toddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Parcoordinating council.	activities for infants and rt C local interagency  Yes No	Interview with child find coordinatorInteragency agreements
6. To	The local school division coordinates child find coddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Par coordinating council.  e locality meeting the requirement?  chere any concerns?	activities for infants and rt C local interagency  Yes No	Interview with child find coordinatorInteragency agreements
6. To	The local school division coordinates child find toddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Parcoordinating council.  e locality meeting the requirement? there any concerns?	activities for infants and rt C local interagency  Yes No Yes No	Interview with child find coordinatorInteragency agreementsOther
6. 1 t c C Is the Are t T C C T C T C T C T C T T C T T T T T	The local school division coordinates child find toddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Par coordinating council.  e locality meeting the requirement? There any concerns?  ment:  ublic Awareness  The local school division, at least annually, concerns.	activities for infants and rt C local interagency  Yes No Yes No	Interview with child find
6. 1 t c C Is the Are t T C C T C T C T C T C T T C T T T T T	The local school division coordinates child find toddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Parcoordinating council.  e locality meeting the requirement? there any concerns?	activities for infants and rt C local interagency  Yes No Yes No	Interview with child find
6. 1 t c C Is the Are t T C C T C T C T C T C T T C T T T T T	The local school division coordinates child find toddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Par coordinating council.  e locality meeting the requirement? There any concerns?  ment:  ublic Awareness  The local school division, at least annually, concerns.	activities for infants and rt C local interagency  Yes No Yes No	Interview with child find
6. 1 t c C Is the Are t T C C T C T C T C T C T C T C T C T C	The local school division coordinates child find toddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Par coordinating council.  e locality meeting the requirement? There any concerns?  ublic Awareness The local school division, at least annually, conceampaign to:  inform the community of a person's, ages two	activities for infants and t C local interagency  Yes No Yes No   ducts a public awareness  Yo to 21, inclusive, statutory	Interview with child find
6. 1 t c C Is the Are t T C C T C T C T C T C T C T C T C T C	The local school division coordinates child find coddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Par coordinating council.  e locality meeting the requirement?  chere any concerns?  ment:  ublic Awareness  The local school division, at least annually, conceampaign to:  inform the community of a person's, ages two right to a free appropriate public education a	activities for infants and t C local interagency  Yes No Yes No   ducts a public awareness  Yo to 21, inclusive, statutory	Interview with child find
6. 1 t c C Is the Are t T C C T C T C T C T C T C T C T C T C	The local school division coordinates child find toddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Par coordinating council.  e locality meeting the requirement? There any concerns?  ublic Awareness The local school division, at least annually, conceampaign to:  inform the community of a person's, ages two	activities for infants and t C local interagency  Yes No Yes No   ducts a public awareness  Yo to 21, inclusive, statutory	Interview with child find
6. 1 t c C Is the Are t T C C T C T C T C T C T C T C T C T C	The local school division coordinates child find coddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Par coordinating council.  e locality meeting the requirement?  chere any concerns?  ment:  ublic Awareness  The local school division, at least annually, conceampaign to:  inform the community of a person's, ages two right to a free appropriate public education a	activities for infants and t C local interagency  Yes No Yes No   ducts a public awareness  Yo to 21, inclusive, statutory	Interview with child find
6. 1 t c C Is the Are t T C C T C T C T C T C T C T C T C T C	The local school division coordinates child find toddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Par coordinating council.  e locality meeting the requirement? There any concerns?  ment:  ublic Awareness  The local school division, at least annually, conceampaign to:  inform the community of a person's, ages two right to a free appropriate public education a education programs and services;  generate referrals; and	ractivities for infants and the C local interagency  Yes No Yes No  ducts a public awareness  Yo to 21, inclusive, statutory and the availability of special	Interview with child find
6. 1 t c C Is the Are t T C C T C T C T C T C T C T C T C T C	The local school division coordinates child find toddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Par coordinating council.  e locality meeting the requirement? There any concerns?  ment:  ublic Awareness  The local school division, at least annually, conceampaign to:  inform the community of a person's, ages two right to a free appropriate public education a education programs and services;  generate referrals; and explain the nature of disabilities, the early we	ractivities for infants and the C local interagency  Yes No Yes No  ducts a public awareness  Yo to 21, inclusive, statutory and the availability of special	Interview with child find
6. 1 t c C Is the Are t T C C T C T C T C T C T C T C T C T C	The local school division coordinates child find toddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Par coordinating council.  e locality meeting the requirement? There any concerns?  ment:  ublic Awareness  The local school division, at least annually, conceampaign to:  inform the community of a person's, ages two right to a free appropriate public education a education programs and services;  generate referrals; and	ractivities for infants and the C local interagency  Yes No Yes No  ducts a public awareness  Yo to 21, inclusive, statutory and the availability of special	Interview with child find
6. The state of th	The local school division coordinates child find toddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Par coordinating council.  e locality meeting the requirement? There any concerns?  Indeed a school division, at least annually, concerns ampaign to:  inform the community of a person's, ages two right to a free appropriate public education are education programs and services; generate referrals; and explain the nature of disabilities, the early wand the need for services to begin early.	activities for infants and the C local interagency  Yes No Yes No  ducts a public awareness  Yo to 21, inclusive, statutory and the availability of special yarning signs of disabilities,	Interview with child find
Gom  Is the Are to Com  B. Prof. Com  Is the Com  Is t	The local school division coordinates child find toddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Par coordinating council.  e locality meeting the requirement? There any concerns?  Indeed a school division, at least annually, concerns ampaign to:  inform the community of a person's, ages two right to a free appropriate public education are ducation programs and services; generate referrals; and  explain the nature of disabilities, the early wand the need for services to begin early.	activities for infants and the C local interagency  Yes No Yes No  ducts a public awareness  Yo to 21, inclusive, statutory and the availability of special varning signs of disabilities,  Yes No	Interview with child find
Gom  Is the Are to Com  B. Prof. Com  Is the Com  Is t	The local school division coordinates child find toddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Par coordinating council.  e locality meeting the requirement? There any concerns?  Inment:  ublic Awareness  The local school division, at least annually, conceampaign to:  inform the community of a person's, ages two right to a free appropriate public education are education programs and services;  generate referrals; and  explain the nature of disabilities, the early we and the need for services to begin early.  elocality meeting each part of the requirement? There any concerns?	activities for infants and the C local interagency  Yes No Yes No  ducts a public awareness  You to 21, inclusive, statutory and the availability of special for a	Interview with child find
6. Is the Are to	The local school division coordinates child find toddlers (birth to age two inclusive) with the Par coordinating council.  e locality meeting the requirement? There any concerns?  Indeed a school division, at least annually, concerns ampaign to:  inform the community of a person's, ages two right to a free appropriate public education are ducation programs and services; generate referrals; and  explain the nature of disabilities, the early wand the need for services to begin early.	ractivities for infants and the C local interagency  Yes No Yes No  ducts a public awareness  Yo to 21, inclusive, statutory and the availability of special varning signs of disabilities,  Yes No Yes No	Interview with child find

8. The local school division's public awareness campaign uses a variety of	Records of contacts
materials and media; includes personal contacts and involvement of parents,	Interviews
community groups, public and private agencies, and organizations; and	Review of materials
provides information in the person's native language or primary mode of	Other
communication.	
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
C. Screening	
9. The local school division's screening process for children includes transfers	Enrollment and screening
from out of state and ensures that, within 60 business days of initial	dates
enrollment in public school, all children are screened in the following areas to	Log of screenings
determine if a referral for an evaluation for special education and related	Policies and procedures
service is indicated:	Other
service is indicated:	Other
a. speech, voice, language, fine and gross motor functions (through grade	
three); and	
Yes No	
b. vision and hearing (initial enrollment, grades three, seven and 10)	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
·	
Comment:	
10. The local school division employs specific measures or instruments in the	Enrollment and screening
screening process which use both observational and performance techniques	dates
and techniques which guarantee nondiscrimination.	Log of screenings
and teeninques which guarantee hondisermination.	Policies and procedures
	•
T (1 1 1') (1 1') (0 X/ N	Other
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
11. Children are referred to the special education administrator or designee no	Enrollment and screening
more than five business days after screening or rescreening when the results	dates
suggest a referral for evaluation for special education and related services.	Log of screenings
Suggest a relative for execution for special education and relative services.	Policies and procedures
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No	Other
Are there any concerns? Yes No	0tilei
Are there any concerns:	
Comments	
Comment:	
Г., <u>— </u>	
12. The local school division's screening procedures provide all applicable	Enrollment and screening
procedural safeguards to include:	dates
	Log of screenings
a. written notice to parent(s) of the scheduled screenings, and if the child	Log of screeningsPolicies and procedures
<ul> <li>a. written notice to parent(s) of the scheduled screenings, and if the child fails the screening, the results of the screening;</li> </ul>	Log of screenings

b. confidentiality; and	
Yes No c. maintenance of the student's scholastic record.	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
13. A child study committee is established in each school to review records and	Committee interviews
other performance evidence of referred children. Referrals are made to the	Committee composition
principal or designee.	Committee minutes
Yes No	Other
The child study committee:	
a. includes the following members:	
the referring source, as appropriate (except if inclusion of referring)	
source breaches the confidentiality of the child);	
• the principal or designee;	
<ul> <li>at least one teacher; and</li> <li>at least one specialist</li> <li>Yes No</li> </ul>	
at least one specialist	
b. meets within 10 business days following the receipt of each referral to	
identify and recommend strategies to address the child's learning,	
behavior, communication, or development. This does not preclude the child study team from making a referral for evaluation for special	
education and related services prior to implementing strategies; and	
Yes No	
c. refers a child to the administrator of special education or designee within	
five business days of the determination that the child should be referred for an evaluation.	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
Comment	
14. Dated written records of actions by the child study committee are available	Committee minutes
and include information upon which a decision is based.	Date of referral and date of meeting
	Date of referral to ASE
	Tracking system/log
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No	Other
Are there any concerns? Yes No  Comment:	
Commont	

# $8\ VAC\ 20-80-52\ REFERRAL\ FOR\ EVALUATION\ p.\ 23$

15. When the child study committee submits a referral for evaluation, the committee reports, in writing, on strategies implemented to address the child's learning, behavior, communication, or development.	Committee minutesDate of referral and date of meetingDate of referral to ASE		
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	Tracking system /log Other		
Comment:			
16. The special education administrator or designee, upon receipt of the referral:  a. records the date, reason for referral, and name(s) of the person/agency	Referral to ASEDates of referral and meetingParent contact log		
b. implements procedures for maintaining confidentiality of assessment	Record reviewsOther		
c. provides procedural safeguards to inform the parent(s) (in their native language or primary mode of communication) about the referral for evaluation and its purpose, parental rights with respect to evaluation, and other procedural safeguards.  Yes No			
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No  Are there any concerns? Yes No			
Comment:			
17. If the decision is to conduct an evaluation, the special education administrator	Referral to ASE		
or designee:	Dates of referral and meetingParent contact log		
a. secures informed consent from the parent(s) for an evaluation;  Yes No	Consent Notice Other		
b. provides required notice and procedural safeguards;  Yes No			
c. informs the parent(s) of procedures for the determination of needed evaluation data and requests any evaluation information the parent(s) may have; and Yes No			
<ul> <li>d. ensures that all evaluations are completed and that decisions about eligibility are made within 65 business days after the referral for evaluation is received by the special education administrator or designee:</li> </ul>			
1) for preschool children transitioning from Part C to Part B; and  YesNo  2) for children receiving an initial evaluation or reevaluation (Part B).  YesNo			
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No			
Comment:			

# 8 VAC 20-80-54 EVALUATION p. 24

<ul> <li>18. As part of an initial evaluation and any reevaluation, a group that is comprised of the same individuals as an IEP team, and other qualified professionals, as appropriate:</li> <li>a. reviews existing evaluation data on the child, including evaluations and information provided by the parent(s) of the child, current classroom-based assessments and observations, and observations by teachers and related services providers; and</li> <li>Yes No</li> </ul>	Meeting minutesEvaluation componentsParent inputStudent inputNoticeOther
<ul> <li>b. on the basis of that review and input from the child's parent(s), identifies what additional data, if any, are needed to determine:</li> <li>1) whether the child has or continues to have a particular disability or disabilities;</li> <li>2) the present levels of performance and educational needs of the child;</li> <li>3) whether the child needs or continues to need special education and related services; and</li> <li>4) whether any additions or modifications to the special education and related services are needed to enable the child to meet the measurable annual goals set out in the IEP of the child and to participate, as appropriate, in the general curriculum; and</li> <li>Yes No</li> <li>c. if no additional data are needed, considers this process to be the evaluation.</li> </ul>	
YesNo  Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No  Are there any concerns? Yes No  Comment:	
19. The LEA provides notice to ensure that parent(s) have the opportunity to participate in the review of existing data.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No	Parental noticeParent inputStudent inputAdministrator interviewOther
Comment:	
20. When additional data are <b>not</b> needed to determine whether the child continues to be a child with a disability, the local educational agency notifies the child's parent(s) of:  a. that determination and the reasons for it; and YesNo	Eligibility minutesParental noticeParent inputStudent inputAdministrator interviewOther

b.	the right of the parent to request an evaluation to determine whether the child continues to be a child with a disability.  Yes No	
	ocality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No are any concerns? Yes No	
Comme	ent:	
21. Th	e LEA has policies and procedures to ensure that:	Testing/evaluation materials
a.	Tests and other evaluation materials are:	Evaluator interviewsInterpreter interviewsQualifications of evaluators
	1) selected and administered so as not to be discriminatory on a racial or	Parent input
	cultural bias; and 2) provided and administered in the child's native language or other	Student input Eligibility minutes
	mode of communication.	Eligibility components
	Yes No	Policies and procedures Other
b.	Materials and procedures used to assess a child with limited English proficiency are selected and administered to measure the extent to which the child has a disability and needs special education, rather than measuring English skills.  Yes No	Other
c.	A variety of assessment tools and strategies are used to gather relevant functional and developmental information, including information provided by the parent(s), and information related to enabling the child to progress in the general curriculum (for a preschool child, to participate in appropriate activities).  Yes No	
d.	The assessment tools and strategies used provide relevant information that directly assist persons in determining the educational needs of the child;	
	Yes No	
e.	<ul> <li>Any standardized tests that are given to a child:</li> <li>1) have been validated for the specific purpose for which they are used; and</li> <li>2) are administered by trained personnel in accordance with instructions provided by the producer of the tests.</li> </ul>	
ass	constandardized tests administered by qualified personnel may be used to its in determining whether the child is a child with a disability and the intents of the child's IEP.)	
201	Yes No	
f.	When an assessment is not conducted under standard conditions, a description of the extent to which it varied from standard conditions is included in the evaluation report.	
	Yes No	

Comment	::	
	any concerns? Yes No Yes No	
in mo inclu	LEA has procedures to ensure that if an evaluation requires assessments ore than one area relating to the suspected disability, a group of persons, ding at least one teacher or specialist with knowledge in the area of ected disability, completes the assessments.	Dates of referralEvaluation datesEligibility minutesParent's signatureProcedures and policiesOther
Comment	:	
	ality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No any concerns? Yes No	
	Yes No	
а	No single procedure is used as the sole criterion for determining whether a child is a child with a disability and for determining an appropriate educational program for the child.	
	YesNo	
C	Technically sound instruments are used that may assess the relative contribution of cognitive and behavioral factors, in addition to physical or developmental factors.	
	The evaluation is sufficiently comprehensive to identify all of the child's special education and related services needs.  Yes No	
	Yes No	
a s a r	Tests are selected and administered so as to best ensure that if a test is administered to a child with impaired sensory, motor, or communication skills, the test results accurately reflect the child's aptitude or achievement level or whatever other factors the test purports to measure, rather than reflecting the child's impaired sensory, motor, or communication skills (except where those skills are the factors that the test purports to measure).	
	Yes No	
s	l'ests and other evaluation materials include those tailored to assess specific areas of educational need and are not merely those, which are designed to provide a single general intelligence quotient.	

23. For a child suspected of having a specific learning disability, the evaluation includes an observation of academic performance in the regular classroom by at least one team member other than the child's regular teacher. (For a child less than school age or out of school, a team member observes the child in an environment appropriate for a child of that age.)	Observation reportEligibility minutesOther
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
<ul> <li>24. Each child is assessed by a qualified professional in all areas relating to the suspected disability, including, if appropriate, health, vision, hearing, social and emotional status, general intelligence, academic performance, communicative status, motor abilities, and adaptive behavior.  Yes No</li> <li>a. The hearing of each child suspected of having a disability is screened during the eligibility process prior to initial determination of eligibility for special education and related services.  Yes No</li> <li>b. A complete audiological assessment, including tests which will assess the inner and middle ear functioning, is performed on each child who is hearing impaired or deaf or who fails two hearing screening tests.  Yes No</li> <li>Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No</li> <li>Are there any concerns? Yes No</li> </ul>	Testing/evaluation materialsEvaluator interviewsInterpreter interviewsQualifications of evaluatorsParent inputStudent inputEligibility minutesEligibility componentsPolicies and proceduresOther
Comment:	
25. A written copy of the evaluation report is provided to the parent(s). The report is made available to the parent(s) no later than two business days before the meeting to determine eligibility.  Is the locality meeting the require ment?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No Yes No	LEA policy and proceduresEligibility minutesIEPsOther
Comment:	

26. Reevaluations occur when conditions warrant, at the request of the child's parent(s) or teacher(s), or at least once every three years.			Parent inputStudent inputTeacher interviews Reevaluations
Is the locality meeting the requirement?	Yes	No	Eligibility minutes
Are there any concerns?		No	Other
Comment:			
27. Evaluations, including reevaluations, are co			Testing/evaluation materials
of receipt of the referral by the special educ	ation administra	ator or designee.	Evaluator interviews
			Parent inputStudent input
			Student input Eligibility minutes
			Eligibility components
Is the locality meeting the requirement?	Vac	No	Referral/ eligibility dates
Are there any concerns?		No	Cther
The there any concerns:	163		other
Comment:			

# 8 VAC 20-80-56 ELIGIBILITY p. 27

28. The decision regarding eligibility for special education and related services is made:	Testing/evaluation materialsEvaluator interviewsParent input
<ul> <li>a. within 65 business days after the referral for evaluation is received for an initial evaluation;</li> <li>Yes No</li> </ul>	Tarchi inputStudent inputEligibility minutesEligibility components
b. no later than the third anniversary of the date the child was last found eligible for special education and related service; or	Referral/ eligibility dates
Yes No	
<ul> <li>within 65 business days after the parent(s) are notified of the decision not to reevaluate.</li> <li>Yes No</li> </ul>	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
29. Upon completing the administration of tests and other evaluation materials or after determining that additional data are not needed, a group of qualified professionals and the parent(s) determines whether the child is or continues to be a child with a disability. The group includes, but is not limited to:	Committee signatures on minutesCommittee interviewsParent inputAdministrator input
a. LEA personnel representing the disciplines providing the assessments;	IEPs Other
Yes No	
b. the special education administrator or designee;	
Yes No c. the parent(s) of the child;	
Yes No	
d. at least one LEA representative who assessed or observed the child;	
Yes No	
e. in the case of a child suspected of having a learning disability, the group includes:	
<ol> <li>a regular education teacher of the child or a teacher qualified to teach a child of that age; and</li> <li>a person qualified to conduct diagnostic examinations of children.</li> </ol>	
Yes No	
f. if the group is an IEP team, the composition of the group meets the above requirements, and notice requirements are also met.	
Yes No	

Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No  Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
<ul> <li>30. In interpreting evaluation data to determine if a child has a disability and determining the educational needs of the child, the local educational agency:</li> <li>a. draws upon information from a variety of sources including aptitude and achievement tests, parent input, teacher recommendations, physical condition, social and cultural background, and adaptive behavior; and</li> </ul>	Committee signatures on minutesCommittee interviewsParent inputAdministrator inputIEPsOther
Yes No	
b. ensures that information is documented and carefully considered.  Yes No  Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No  Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
31. No child is determined to be eligible for special education if the determinant factor is lack of instruction in reading or math or limited English proficiency and the child does not otherwise meet the eligibility criteria.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No	LEA policy and procedureEligibility minutesIEPsOther
Comment:	
32. The group making the decision regarding eligibility has a written summary that consists of the basis for making its determination.  Yes No  a. The summary is signed by each group member present.	Eligibility minutesStudent recordsEligibility committee interviewsParent inputOther
a. The summary is signed by each group memoer present.	
Yes No	
<ul> <li>b. If the summary does not reflect consensus or a group member's conclusion, the group member submits a separate statement presenting his/her conclusions.</li> <li>Yes No</li> </ul>	

c. The written summary is maintained in the child's scholastic record.	
Yes No	
d. The summary of the group's essential deliberations is forwarded to the IEP team.	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
33. The LEA obtains parental consent for the initial eligibility determination.	Eligibility minutesConsent formStudent records
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	Eligibility committee interviewsParent inputOther
Comment:	
34. The LEA provides a copy of the documentation of the determination of eligibility to the parent(s).	Eligibility minutesStudent recordsEligibility committee interviewsParent inputOther
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	Other
Comment:	
35. For a child suspected of having a learning disability, the documentation of the group's determination of eligibility also includes statements of:	Statements in minutesLD teacher interviews
a. whether the child has a specific learning disability;	Parent inputEligibility committee interviews
Yes No	Other
b. the basis for making the determination;	
Yes No	
c. the relevant behavior noted during the observation of the child;	
Yes No	
d. the relationship of that behavior to the child's academic functioning;	
Yes No	

e. the educationally relevant medical findings, if any;	
Yes No	
f. whether there is a severe discrepancy between achievement and ability that is not correctable without special education and related services; and	
Yes No	
g. the determination of the group concerning the effect of any environmental, cultural, or economic disadvantage.	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
36. Children with disabilities transferring from another Virginia LEA are	Transfer IEPs
provided services consistent with the existing IEP of the former LEA with	Written parent consent
consent of the parent(s), or a new IEP is developed for the child.	Placements Other
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
	T
37. When a child with a disability transfers from another state and the LEA accepts the most recent eligibility determination and adopts the most recent evaluation, the LEA:	Transfer IEPsWritten parent consentPlacementsOther
a. provides prior notice to parent(s); and	Ottlet
Yes No	
b. serves the child consistent with the IEP, provided the IEP meets requirements and parental consent has been obtained; or	
b. serves the child consistent with the IEP, provided the IEP meets	
b. serves the child consistent with the IEP, provided the IEP meets requirements and parental consent has been obtained; or	
<ul> <li>b. serves the child consistent with the IEP, provided the IEP meets requirements and parental consent has been obtained; or  Yes No</li> <li>c. conducts an IEP meeting to develop a new IEP or to revise the IEP within 30 calendar days after the determination to accept the evaluation and eligibility.  Yes No</li> <li>Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No</li> <li>Are there any concerns? Yes No</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>b. serves the child consistent with the IEP, provided the IEP meets requirements and parental consent has been obtained; or  Yes No</li> <li>c. conducts an IEP meeting to develop a new IEP or to revise the IEP within 30 calendar days after the determination to accept the evaluation and eligibility.  Yes No</li> <li>Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No</li> </ul>	

38. When a student transfers in from another state and the LEA does not adopt	Transfer IEPs
the evaluation or does not receive a copy of the evaluation, the LEA:	Written parent consent
**	Placements
a. provides proper notice;	Other
Yes No	
b. conducts an evaluation (During the evaluation the child receives	
services as in the existing IEP); and	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Yes No	
c. informs the parent(s) when it does not implement sections of the transfer	
IEP that do not meet requirements.	
The that do not meet requirements.	
Yes No	
103 110	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Are there any concerns:	
Comments	
Comment:	
8 VAC 20-30-58 TERMINATION OF SPECIAL EDUCATION and RI	ELATED SERVICES p. 29
39. The IEP team terminates the child's eligibility for special education and	Parent input
related services.	Student input
	Eligibility minutes
a. Termination of special education services occurs following an	Parental consent
evaluation when the team determines that the child is no longer a child	Parental request
with a disability who needs special education and related services and	IEP minutes
parental consent is secured;	Resolution methods
Yes No	Other
b. When appropriate, a related service is terminated during an IEP meeting	
without determination that the child is no longer a child with a disability	
eligible for special education and related services, and parental consent	
is secured (The IEP team must include personnel representing the	
related service disciplines in person, by telephone, or by other similar	
means) and;	
Yes No	
110	
c. When the parent(s) revoke consent for the child to continue to receive	
special education and related services, the LEA follows procedures for	
determining eligibility to terminate the child's eligibility or uses other	
measures to ensure that parental revocation of consent will not result in	
the withdrawal of FAPE for the child.	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	

#### 8 VAC 20-80-60 FREE APPROPRIATE PUBLIC EDUCATION (FAPE) p. 30

A. Age of Eligibility 40. FAPE is available to all children with disabilities who need special education Child count and related services, aged two to 21, inclusive, residing within the LEA's Class rosters \_\_\_No waiting lists jurisdiction, including children who are advancing from grade to grade or \_\_\_ Parent input who have been suspended or expelled from school, and: \_\_\_IEPs Yes No Other a. services provided address all of the child's identified special education and related services needs: and Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_ b. services and placement needs are based on the child's unique needs and not on the child's disability. Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_ Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ Are there any concerns? Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ Comment: **B.** Program Options 41. Steps are taken to ensure that children with disabilities have available to **IEPs** them the variety of educational programs and services available to non-Club and team rosters disabled children in the area served by the LEA, including extracurricular Class rosters services and activities. \_Parent input \_\_\_Student input \_\_\_Teacher interviews Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_Other \_\_\_\_ Are there any concerns? Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ Comment: C. Residential Placement 42. When placement in a public or private residential program is necessary to Evidence of payments provide special education and related services to a child with a disability, the Parental reimbursements program is provided at no cost to the parent(s), including non-medical care Parent input \_\_\_Student input and room and board. \_\_\_Teacher input Contracts **IEPs** Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_Other\_\_\_ Are there any concerns? Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

D. Proper runctioning of Hearing Alus		
43. The LEA ensures that the hearing aids worn in school by children with hearing impairments, including deafness, are functioning properly.		Parent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsNurse interviewsMaintenance logs
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Are there any concerns?	Yes No Yes No	IEPs Other
Comment:		
E. Assistive Technology		
44. The LEA ensures that assistive technology department available to a child with a disability if require education, related services, or supplementary.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?	IEPsParent inputStudent inputParent signaturesOther	
Are there any concerns?	Yes No Yes No	
Comment:		
F. Transportation		
45. Transportation is provided to a child with a disability placed in an education program, including private and special education day or residential placements, by the local school division at no cost if transportation is necessary to enable the child to benefit from educational programs and opportunities.		Parent inputStudent inputIEPsPayment recordsOther
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Are there any concerns?	Yes No Yes No	
Comment:		
G. Nonacademic and Extracurricular Services and Activities 46. Steps are taken to ensure that nonacademic and extracurricular services and activities are provided in a manner necessary to afford children with disabilities an equal opportunity for participation in those services and activities.		IEPsParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsClass rostersSchool, program, and classroom
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Are there any concerns?	Yes No Yes No	accessibilityOther
Comment:		

H. Physical Education			
47. Physical education, specially designed if	IEPs		
child with a disability as part of a free ap	Class rosters		
		PE teacher interviews	
		Parent input	
Is the locality meeting the requirement?	Yes No	Student input	
Are there any concerns?	Yes No	Other	
Comment:			
I. Extended School Year Services			
48. Extended school year services are availal	ole as necessary to provide a free	IEPs	
appropriate public education to children		Policies and procedures	
appropriate paone education to emidren	with disabilities.	Parent input	
	Vac No		
	YesNo	Student input	
		Administrator input	
a. Extended school year services are pr		Other	
determines the individual need.	Yes No		
b. The LEA does not limit extended scl	nool year services to particular		
categories of disability.	_		
	Yes No		
c. The LEA does not unilaterally limit	the type amount or duration of		
extended school year services.	tire type, unrount, or duration or		
extended sensor year services.	Yes No		
	105 110		
Is the legality meeting each part of the requir	omant? Vas Na		
Is the locality meeting each part of the require			
Are there any concerns?			
Comment:			
J. Children with Disabilities in Public Cha			
49. Children with disabilities who attend pub	olic charter schools are served by the	IEPs	
local school division in the same manner	as children with disabilities in its	Policies and procedures	
other schools.		Parent input	
other behoofs.		Student input	
	**	Administrator input	
Is the locality meeting the requirement?	Yes No	Other	
Are there any concerns?	Yes No		
Comment:			
K. Length of School Day			
50. All school-aged children with disabilities	s are provided a school day	Class schedules	
comparable in length to the day provided		IEPs	
	to non-disabled children, unless a		
child's IEP specifies otherwise.		Bus schedules	
		Parent input	
		Student input	
Is the locality meeting the requirement?	Yes No	Teacher input	
Are there any concerns?	Yes No	Other	
		1	
Comment:			
Comment:			

## $8\ VAC\ 20\text{-}80\text{-}62$ INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP) p. 31

#### A. Responsibility

51. The LEA ensures that an IEP is developed and implemented for each child with a disability served by that LEA, including a child placed in a private special education school by a local school division or a noneducational placement by a Comprehensive Services Act team that includes the school division. (The local school division's responsibility is limited to special education and related services.)  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Yes No  Are there any concerns?  Yes No	Program data (i.e., rates of parent/student attendance)IEP signaturesCommittee interviewsCopies of IEP meetings noticesOther
Comment:	
B. Accountability	
<ul> <li>52. The LEA ensures that an IEP:</li> <li>a. is in effect at the beginning of each school year for each child with a disability; Yes No</li> <li>b. in effect before special education and related services are provided to an eligible child, including children who transfer into the LEA; and</li> </ul>	IEPsCommittee interviewsIEP compositionEligibility dateTracking logOther
Yes No  c. is developed within 30 calendar days of the date of the initial determination that the child needs special education and related services, and is implemented as soon as possible following the IEP meeting.  Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
53. The LEA ensures that:	Access logs/record disclosure
<ul> <li>a. the child's IEP is accessible to each regular education teacher, special education teacher, related service provider, and other service provider who is responsible for its implementation; and</li> <li>Yes No</li> </ul>	formsTeacher interviewsAdministrator interviewsIEPsLocation of recordsCorrespondences
b. teachers and providers are informed of:	Other
<ol> <li>their specific responsibilities related to implementing the child's IEP; and</li> <li>the specific accommodations, modifications and supports that must be provided for the child in accordance with the IEP.</li> <li>Yes No</li> </ol>	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	

Comment:		
54. The LEA initiates and conducts meetings to develop, review and revise the IEP of a child with a disability.  Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	IEPsCommittee interviewsIEP compositionOther	
Comment:		
Confinent.		
55. The LEA ensures that the IEP team:	IEPs Committee interviews	
a. reviews the child's IEP periodically, but not less than annually, to determine whether the annual goals are being achieved; and	IEP composition	
Yes No		
<ul> <li>b. revises its provisions, as appropriate, to address:</li> <li>1) any lack of expected progress toward the annual goals and in the general curriculum, if appropriate;</li> </ul>		
Yes No		
2) the results of any reevaluation conducted;		
Yes No		
3) information about the child provided to or by the parent(s);		
Yes No		
4) the child's anticipated needs, or other matters.		
Yes No		
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No		
Comment:		
56. The LEA provides special education and related services to a child with a disability in accordance with the IEP.	Parent inputStudent inputTeacher interviews Observations	
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	IEPs Other	
Comment:		

#### C. IEP Team

C. IEP	1 eani	
57. The	e LEA ensures that the IEP team includes:	Program data(i.e., rates of parent/student attendance)
a.	the parent(s) of the child;	IEP signaturesCommittee interviews
	Yes No	Copies of IEP meetings noticesOther
b.	at least one regular education teacher of the child (if the child is, or may be participating in the regular education environment);	
	Yes No	
c.	at least one special education teacher of the child, or if appropriate, a special education provider;	
	Yes No	
d.	a representative of the school division who is qualified to provide or supervise the provision of special education, and is knowledgeable about the general curriculum and about the availability of resources;	
	Yes No	
e.	an individual who can interpret the instructional implications of evaluation results;	
	Yes No	
f.	at the discretion of the parent(s) or LEA, other individuals who have knowledge or special expertise regarding the child, including related service personnel;	
	Yes No	
g.	if appropriate, the child; and	
	Yes No	
h.	for children who are in the custody of a local social services or other child welfare agency, the child's caseworker. (The caseworker does not assume the role of the parent, and if the caseworker is unable to attend the meeting as scheduled, the meeting is held without the caseworker.)	
	Yes No	
	re any concerns?  Yes No Yes No	
Comme	nt:	

58. If a purpose of the meeting will be the consideration of the student's transition services needs, the needed transition services, or both, the LEA:  a. invites the student of any age to attend the meeting;  Yes No  b. takes other steps to ensure that the student's preferences and interests are considered if the student does not attend the meeting; and  Yes No  c. invites a representative of any other agency that is likely to be responsible for providing or paying for transition services. (If an agency invited to send a representative to a meeting does not do so, the LEA takes other steps to obtain participation of the other agency in planning of transition services.)	Program data (i.e., rates of parent/student attendance)IEP signaturesCommittee interviewsCopies of IEP meetings noticesOther
Yes No  Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
<ul><li>D. Parent Participation</li><li>59. The LEA takes steps to ensure that one or both parent(s) of the child with a</li></ul>	Program data (i.e., rates of
disability are present at each IEP meeting or are afforded the opportunity to participate, including:	parent/student attendance)IEP signatures
participate, including.	Committee interviews
a. notifying the parent(s) early enough to ensure that they will have an opportunity to attend; and	Copies of IEP meetings notices
Yes No	Other
b. scheduling the meeting at a mutually agreed on time and place.	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
60. Notice regarding any IEP meeting:	Parent inputStudent input
a. indicates the purpose, time, date and location of the meeting, and who will be in attendance;  Yes No	Student inputTeacher interviewsIEP meeting noticesIEPsOther
b. informs parent(s) that at the discretion of the parent(s) or LEA, other individuals who have knowledge or special expertise regarding the child may be invited to participate; and	Ouiei
Yes No	

c. beginning at age 14 or younger, if appropriate, if the purpose of the meeting is the consideration of transition services, the notice:	
<ol> <li>indicates that a purpose of the meeting will be the development of a statement of transition service needs or needed transition services for the student; and Yes No</li> </ol>	
2) indicates that the LEA will invite the student.  Yes No	
d. beginning at age 16 or younger, if appropriate, (in addition to c.1 and c.2 above), the notice identifies any other agency that will be invited to send a representative.  Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
61. When neither parent can attend the IEP meeting, the LEA uses various methods to ensure parent participation in the IEP meeting and there is a record of attempts to arrange a mutually agreed on time and place for the IEP meeting if the LEA is unable to convince the parent(s) to attend the meeting.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Yes No  Are there any concerns?  Yes No	Parent inputContact logIEPsIEP meeting noticeOther
Comment:	
62. The LEA takes whatever action is necessary to ensure that the parent(s) understand the proceedings at the IEP meeting, including arrangements for an interpreter for parent(s) with deafness or whose native language is other than English.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No Yes No	Parent inputStudent inputUse of qualified interpretersUse of translatorsIEPsEligibility minutesOther
Comment:	
62. The LEA powerite the use of audio recording devices at IED meetings and	Dogont in must
63. The LEA permits the use of audio recording devices at IEP meetings and maintains a copy of the recording as part of the child's educational record.	Parent inputStudent inputUse of qualified interpretersUse of translators IEPs
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	Eligibility minutes

Comment:				
	the IEP meeting, the team provides paren		cription of the	Parent input
fac	tors that will be considered during the me	eeting.		Student input
Is the l	ocality meeting the requirement?	Vac	No	IEPs and agenda Other
	ere any concerns?	Yes	No No	Other
1110 0110				
C				
Comme	ent:			
65 TEL	154 · (/) 64 1:11	U IED (	1	D (*)
65. Th	e LEA gives parent(s) a copy of the child	's IEP at no cost t	to the parent(s).	Parent inputStudent input
Is the lo	ocality meeting the requirement?	Yes	No	Student input Policies and procedures
	ere any concerns?		No	Other
	•			
Comme	ent:			
Commi	ont			
F Dox	velopment, Review and Revision of the	IFD		
	developing a child's IEP, the IEP team:			IEPs (including transfer)
00. 111	00 (010pmg u 0mm 0 121 , 010 121 (0mm			Parent input
a.	considers the strengths of the child and	the concerns of the	he parent(s) for	Student input
	enhancing the education of their child;			Parent signatures
				Placements
	Yes No			Other
b.	considers the results of the initial or mo	st recent evaluati	on of the child;	
	Yes No _			
c.	considers as appropriate, the results of t	the child's perfor	mance on any	
	general state or district-wide assessmen		manee on any	
	Yes No			
d.	considers the communication needs of t	the child;		
	Yes No			
e.	considers whether the child requires ass	sistive technolog	v devices and	
	services;		,	
	Yes No	_		
f.	in the case of a child whose behavior in	nnedes the child's	learning or that	
1.	of others, considers, if appropriate, strat	_	-	
	behavioral interventions, strategies and			
	behavior;	11		
	Yes No			
· ·	in the case of a child with limited English	h proficionay acr	eiders the	
g.	in the case of a child with limited English language needs of the child as those need			
	Yes No		,	
	1,0			

h. in the case of a child who is blind or visually impaired, provides for instruction in Braille and the use of Braille for the child unless the IEP team determines after an evaluation of the child's reading and writing skills, needs, and appropriate reading and writing media (including an evaluation of the child's future needs for instruction in Braille or the use of Braille) that instruction in Braille or the use of Braille is not appropriate for the child; and	
Yes No	
<ol> <li>i. in the case of a child who is deaf or hard of hearing, considers the child's language and communication needs, opportunities for direct communications with peers and professional personnel in the child's language and communication mode, academic level, and full range of needs, including opportunities for direct instruction in the child's language and communication mode.</li> </ol>	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
<ul> <li>67. The regular education teacher, as a member of the IEP team, participates in the development, review and revision of the child's IEP including assisting in the determination of:</li> <li>appropriate positive behavioral interventions and strategies; and</li> <li>supplementary aids and services, accommodations, program modifications, or supports for school personnel that will be provided for the child.</li> </ul>	Committee interviewsIEPsIEP minutesIEP signaturesOther
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No  Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
68. The LEA provides the parent(s) with prior written notice of the agency's proposals or refusals or both regarding the child's educational placement or provision of FAPE when the IEP team cannot reach consensus.	IEPPrior written noticeParent inputOther
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	

#### F. Content of the IEP

<u></u>	Til	TED for an all all 11 decided and 11 all 11 for the decided	I E A - 1 d d
69.	ıne	e IEP for each child with a disability includes:	LEA policy and procedures IEPs
		a statement of the shild's present levels of advectional performance	IEPs IEP team interviews
	a.	a statement of the child's present levels of educational performance	
		including how the child's disability affects involvement and progress in	Parent input
		the general curriculum or for preschool child, as appropriate, how the	Student input
		disability affects the child's participation in appropriate activities;	Other
		1) the statement is written in objective measurable terms, to the extent	
		possible and test scores, if appropriate, are self-explanatory or an	
		explanation is included; and	
		2) the present level of performance relates to the other components of	
		the IEP; Yes No	
	b.	a statement of measurable annual goals, including benchmarks or short-	
		term objectives, related to	
		1) meeting the child's needs that result from the child's disability to	
		enable the child to be involved in and progress in the general	
		curriculum or for the preschool children, as appropriate, to	
		participate in appropriate activities; and	
		2) meeting each of the child's other educational needs that result from	
		the child's disability;	
		Yes No	
	c.	a statement of special education, related services (i.e., OT, PT,	
		transportation, psychological counseling, other) and supplementary aids,	
		and services to be provided for the child, or on behalf of the child, and a	
		statement of the program modifications or supports for school personnel	
		that will be provided for the child;	
		1) to advance appropriately toward attaining the annual goals;	
		2) to be involved and progress in the general curriculum and to	
		participate in the extracurricular and other nonacademic activities;	
		and	
		3) to be educated and participate with other children with disabilities	
		and children without disabilities in academic, nonacademic, and extracurricular activities;	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Yes No	
	d.	an explanation of the extent, if any, to which the child will not	
	۵.	participate with children without disabilities in the regular class and	
	activities;		
		Yes No	
	e.	a statement of any individual accommodations or modifications in the	
		administration of state or division-wide assessments of student	
		achievement that are needed in order for the child to participate in such	
		assessments;	
		Yes No	
	f.	if the IEP team determines that the child will not participate in a	
		particular state or division-wide assessment of achievement, a statement	
		of:	
		1) why that assessment is not appropriate for the child;	
		2) how the child will be assessed, including participation in the	
		alternate assessment for those students who meet the criteria; and	
		3) how the child's nonparticipation in the state or division-wide	
		assessment will impact the child's promotion or course; graduation	
		with a standard, advanced studies, or modified standard diploma; or	
		other matters;	
		Yes No	
		- 30	

g.	a statement that the child will participate in either the state assessment for all children that is part of the state assessment program or the state's	
	alternate assessment;  Yes No	
h	projected dates (month, day, and year) for the beginning of services and modifications and the anticipated frequency, location, and duration of those services and modifications;	
	Yes No	
i.	a statement of how the child's progress toward the annual goals will be measured; and	
j.	Yes No statement of how the child's parent(s) will be regularly informed (by such means as periodic report cards), at least as often as parent(s) are informed of the progress of their children without disabilities, concerning:	
	<ol> <li>their child's progress toward the annual goals; and</li> <li>the extent to which that progress is sufficient to enable the child to achieve the goals by the end of the year;</li> </ol>	
	Yes No	
k.	beginning at age 14 (or younger if appropriate), and updated annually, a statement of the transition service needs of the student that focuses on the student's courses of study (such as participation in advanced-placement courses or a vocational education program);	
	Yes No	
l.	beginning at age 16 (or younger, if determined appropriate by the IEP team), a statement of needed transition services for the student including, when appropriate, a statement of interagency responsibilities or any needed linkages;  Yes No	
m.	beginning at least <b>one year before</b> the student reaches the age of majority, a statement that the student has been informed of his or her rights that will transfer to the student upon reaching the age of majority. (The age of majority is 18 in Virginia.)	
	Yes No	
	re any concerns?  Yes No Yes No	
Comme	nt:	

70. Transition services, beginning at age 16 (or younger if determined appropriate by the IEP team), are based on the individual student's needs, taking into account the student's preferences and interests; and include:	Active student IEPsOther
<ul> <li>instruction;</li> <li>related services;</li> <li>community experiences;</li> <li>the development of employment and other post-school adult living objectives; and</li> <li>if appropriate, the acquisition of daily living skills and a functional vocational evaluation.</li> </ul>	
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
<ul> <li>G. Agency Responsibility for Transition Services</li> <li>71. When a participating public agency fails to provide transition services</li> </ul>	Committee interviews
described in the IEP, the LEA reconvenes the IEP team to identify	IEPs
alternative strategies to meet the transition objectives for the student.	Contacts with private schools Correspondence Other
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	<u> </u>

#### 8 VAC 20-80-64 LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT (LRE) p. 36

A. General LRE Requirements 72. The LEA ensures: LEA policies \_Service delivery models that to the maximum extent appropriate, children with disabilities, **IEPs** \_\_\_Parent input including those in public or private institutions or other care facilities, \_\_\_Student input are educated with children without disabilities; and \_\_\_Teacher interviews Yes No \_\_\_Other \_\_\_\_ b. that special classes, separate schooling, or other removal of children with disabilities from the regular education environment occurs only if the nature or severity of the disability is such that education in regular classes with the use of supplementary aids and services cannot be achieved satisfactorily. Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_ Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_ Are there any concerns? Yes No Comment: **B.** Continuum of Alternative Placements 73. A continuum of alternative placements is available to meet the needs of LEA policies children with disabilities, that includes: \_Service delivery models **IEPs** \_Parent input the alternative placements listed in the definition of special education \_\_\_Student input (instruction in regular classes, special classes, special schools, home-\_\_\_Teacher interviews based instruction, and instruction in hospitals and institutions); and \_\_\_Other \_\_\_\_ Yes No b. the provision for supplementary services (e.g., resource room or services or itinerant instruction) to be provided in conjunction with regular class placement. Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_ The LEA documents all alternatives considered and the rationale for choosing the selected placement. Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_ Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ Are there any concerns? Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ Comment: Program data (i.e., placement 74. There is no single model for the delivery of services to any specific population or category of children with disabilities in providing a continuum rates by disability) of alternative placements and all placement decisions are based on the Parent input individual needs of each child. Student input \_Service delivery models Eligibility minutes Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ Is the locality meeting the requirement? **IEPs** Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_Other \_\_\_\_ Are there any concerns?

75. All children with disabilities are served in a program with age-appropriate		Class rosters
peers unless it can be shown that for a particular child with a disability the alternative placement is appropriate as documented by the IEP.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Yes No  Yes No		Parent input
		Student input
		Teacher interviewsAges of students in school Other
Comment:		
C. Placements  6. The educational placement for each child, i	naluding a procedual shild with a	IEPs
	ncluding a preschool child with a	Placements
disability, is:		
a made by the IED teem in confermity w	ith the least meeting tive	Other
<ul> <li>made by the IEP team in conformity w environment;</li> </ul>	im me ieast iestrictive	
<ul> <li>determined at least annually;</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>based on the child's IEP; and</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>based on the child's lEP; and</li> <li>as close as possible to the child's home</li> </ul>	<u>.</u>	
as close as possible to the child's nome	ē.	
s the locality meeting each part of the requirem	nent? Yes No	
Are there any concerns?	Yes No	
,		
		Program data (i.e., placement
7. In determining the educational placement o including a preschool child with a disability		rates by disability/school)
including a preschool child with a disability  a. each child with a disability is educated	y, the LEA ensures that: in the school that the child would	rates by disability/school)IEPsIEP Team meeting minutes
a. each child with a disability     a. each child with a disability is educated normally attend if not disabled unless t	y, the LEA ensures that: in the school that the child would	rates by disability/school)IEPs
<ul> <li>a. each child with a disability</li> <li>a. each child with a disability is educated normally attend if not disabled unless tarrangement;</li> </ul>	y, the LEA ensures that: in the school that the child would the IEP requires some other	rates by disability/school)IEPsIEP Team meeting minutesCommittee interviewsJustification statements
<ul> <li>a. each child with a disability</li> <li>a. each child with a disability is educated normally attend if not disabled unless tarrangement;</li> </ul>	y, the LEA ensures that: in the school that the child would	rates by disability/school)IEPsIEP Team meeting minutesCommittee interviews
a. each child with a disability a. each child with a disability is educated normally attend if not disabled unless t arrangement;  Yes	y, the LEA ensures that: in the school that the child would the IEP requires some other No	rates by disability/school)IEPsIEP Team meeting minutesCommittee interviewsJustification statements
<ul> <li>including a preschool child with a disability</li> <li>a. each child with a disability is educated normally attend if not disabled unless t arrangement;</li> <li>Yes _</li> <li>b. any potential harmful effects on the ch</li> </ul>	y, the LEA ensures that: in the school that the child would the IEP requires some other No ild or on the quality of services	rates by disability/school)IEPsIEP Team meeting minutesCommittee interviewsJustification statements
<ul> <li>including a preschool child with a disability</li> <li>a. each child with a disability is educated normally attend if not disabled unless t arrangement;</li> <li>Yes _</li> <li>b. any potential harmful effects on the ch which might result from a particular ed</li> </ul>	y, the LEA ensures that: in the school that the child would the IEP requires some other No ild or on the quality of services ducational placement were	rates by disability/school)IEPsIEP Team meeting minutesCommittee interviewsJustification statements
<ul> <li>a. each child with a disability is educated normally attend if not disabled unless t arrangement;</li> <li>Yes _</li> <li>b. any potential harmful effects on the ch</li> </ul>	y, the LEA ensures that: in the school that the child would the IEP requires some other No ild or on the quality of services ducational placement were	rates by disability/school)IEPsIEP Team meeting minutesCommittee interviewsJustification statements
<ul> <li>including a preschool child with a disability</li> <li>a. each child with a disability is educated normally attend if not disabled unless the arrangement;</li> <li>Yes _</li> <li>b. any potential harmful effects on the chewhich might result from a particular educonsidered in selecting the least restriction.</li> </ul>	y, the LEA ensures that: in the school that the child would the IEP requires some other No ild or on the quality of services ducational placement were	rates by disability/school)IEPsIEP Team meeting minutesCommittee interviewsJustification statements
<ul> <li>including a preschool child with a disability</li> <li>a. each child with a disability is educated normally attend if not disabled unless the arrangement;</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>b. any potential harmful effects on the child which might result from a particular educonsidered in selecting the least restriction.</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>	in the school that the child would the IEP requires some other  No ild or on the quality of services ducational placement were tive environment.	rates by disability/school)IEPsIEP Team meeting minutesCommittee interviewsJustification statements
<ul> <li>including a preschool child with a disability</li> <li>a. each child with a disability is educated normally attend if not disabled unless the arrangement;</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>b. any potential harmful effects on the chewhich might result from a particular educonsidered in selecting the least restriction.</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>c. a child with a disability is not removed.</li> </ul>	in the school that the child would the IEP requires some other  No ild or on the quality of services ducational placement were tive environment.  No from education in age-	rates by disability/school)IEPsIEP Team meeting minutesCommittee interviewsJustification statements
<ul> <li>including a preschool child with a disability</li> <li>a. each child with a disability is educated normally attend if not disabled unless t arrangement;</li> <li>Yes _</li> <li>b. any potential harmful effects on the ch which might result from a particular ed considered in selecting the least restriction.</li> <li>Yes _</li> <li>c. a child with a disability is not removed appropriate regular classrooms solely be</li> </ul>	in the school that the child would the IEP requires some other  No ild or on the quality of services ducational placement were tive environment.  No from education in age-	rates by disability/school)IEPsIEP Team meeting minutesCommittee interviewsJustification statements
<ul> <li>including a preschool child with a disability</li> <li>a. each child with a disability is educated normally attend if not disabled unless the arrangement;</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>b. any potential harmful effects on the child which might result from a particular education considered in selecting the least restriction.</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>c. a child with a disability is not removed appropriate regular classrooms solely be in the general curriculum.</li> </ul>	in the school that the child would the IEP requires some other  No ild or on the quality of services ducational placement were tive environment.  No from education in age- pecause of needed modifications	rates by disability/school)IEPsIEP Team meeting minutesCommittee interviewsJustification statements
<ul> <li>including a preschool child with a disability</li> <li>a. each child with a disability is educated normally attend if not disabled unless the arrangement;</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>b. any potential harmful effects on the child which might result from a particular education considered in selecting the least restriction.</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>c. a child with a disability is not removed appropriate regular classrooms solely be in the general curriculum.</li> </ul>	in the school that the child would the IEP requires some other  No ild or on the quality of services ducational placement were tive environment.  No from education in age-	rates by disability/school)IEPsIEP Team meeting minutesCommittee interviewsJustification statements
<ul> <li>including a preschool child with a disability</li> <li>a. each child with a disability is educated normally attend if not disabled unless the arrangement;</li> <li>Yes _</li> <li>b. any potential harmful effects on the child which might result from a particular education considered in selecting the least restriction.</li> <li>Yes _</li> <li>c. a child with a disability is not removed appropriate regular classrooms solely be in the general curriculum.</li> <li>Yes _</li> </ul>	in the school that the child would the IEP requires some other  No ild or on the quality of services lucational placement were tive environment.  No from education in age- because of needed modifications  No	rates by disability/school)IEPsIEP Team meeting minutesCommittee interviewsJustification statements
including a preschool child with a disability  a. each child with a disability is educated normally attend if not disabled unless t arrangement;  Yes _  b. any potential harmful effects on the ch which might result from a particular ed considered in selecting the least restriction of the child with a disability is not removed appropriate regular classrooms solely be in the general curriculum.  Yes _  s the locality meeting each part of the requirem	in the school that the child would the IEP requires some other  No lid or on the quality of services lucational placement were tive environment.  No from education in age-because of needed modifications  No lent? Yes No	rates by disability/school)IEPsIEP Team meeting minutesCommittee interviewsJustification statements
<ul> <li>including a preschool child with a disability</li> <li>a. each child with a disability is educated normally attend if not disabled unless the arrangement;</li> <li>Yes _</li> <li>b. any potential harmful effects on the child which might result from a particular education considered in selecting the least restriction.</li> <li>Yes _</li> <li>c. a child with a disability is not removed appropriate regular classrooms solely be in the general curriculum.</li> <li>Yes _</li> </ul>	in the school that the child would the IEP requires some other  No ild or on the quality of services lucational placement were tive environment.  No from education in age- because of needed modifications  No	rates by disability/school)IEPsIEP Team meeting minutesCommittee interviewsJustification statements
including a preschool child with a disability  a. each child with a disability is educated normally attend if not disabled unless t arrangement;  Yes _  b. any potential harmful effects on the ch which might result from a particular ed considered in selecting the least restriction of the child with a disability is not removed appropriate regular classrooms solely be in the general curriculum.  Yes _  s the locality meeting each part of the requirem	in the school that the child would the IEP requires some other  No lid or on the quality of services lucational placement were tive environment.  No from education in age-because of needed modifications  No lent? Yes No	rates by disability/school)IEPsIEP Team meeting minutesCommittee interviewsJustification statements
including a preschool child with a disability  a. each child with a disability is educated normally attend if not disabled unless the arrangement;  Yes _  b. any potential harmful effects on the cheap which might result from a particular educonsidered in selecting the least restriction of the cheap restriction of the cheap restriction of the general curriculum.  Yes _  s the locality meeting each part of the requirements of the requirements and the control of the requirements are considered.	in the school that the child would the IEP requires some other  No  ild or on the quality of services ducational placement were tive environment.  No  from education in ageoecause of needed modifications  No  nent? Yes No  Yes No	rates by disability/school) IEPs IEP Team meeting minutes Committee interviews Justification statements Other

78. Home-based instruction is available to children whose IEPs require the		IEPs	
delivery of services in the home or other agreed-upon setting.		IEP Team meeting minutes	
			Committee interviews
			Justification statements
Is the locality meeting the requirement?	Yes	No	Other
Are there any concerns?	Yes	No	
Comments			
Comment:			
79. Homebound instruction is available to students	s eligible for	special education	IEPs
and related services who are confined for perio	ds that woul	d prevent normal	IEP Team meeting minutes
school attendance based upon certification of r	need by a lice	ensed physician or	Committee interviews
clinical psychologist; and			Justification statements
			Other
Yes	No		
The IEP team revises the IEP, as appropriate, a			
homebound services, including the number of	hours of serv	ices.	
Yes	No		
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement	9 Vec	No	
Are there any concerns?		No	
The more any concerns.	105	1.0	
			I
Comment:			

## ${\bf 8\ VAC\ 20\text{-}80\text{-}65\ PLACEMENT\ OF\ CHILDREN\ AT\ THE\ VSDB\text{-}Staunton\ or\ VSDBMD\text{-}Hampton\ p.\ 37}$

80. When the local school division places a student in the state schools, the local	IEPs
school division:	IEP Team meeting minutes Committee interviews
a. ensures compliance with special education requirements; and	Justification statements
Voc. No.	Other
Yes No	
b. provides transportation for day students.	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
8 VAC 20-80-66 PRIVATE SCHOOL PLACEMENTS p. 37	
81. When a child with a disability placed in a private residential school under	Transfer IEPs
Comprehensive Services Act (CSA) transfers to a new LEA, the LEA	Written parent consent
reviews and adopts or revises and implements the IEP within 30 calendar days of receipt of written notification of transfer.	Placements Other
days of receipt of written notification of transfer.	
Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
82. When a child with a disability is placed by the local school division or Comprehensive Services Act team that includes the school division in a	Parent input Student input
private special education school or facility, the local school division ensures	Student input Administrator input
compliance with requirements regarding procedural safeguards, IEPs,	IEPs
assessments, reevaluation and termination of services and participation in	Contacts with private
state and division-wide assessments.	schools
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No	Correspondence
Are there any concerns? Yes No	Other
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	Other Parent inputStudent input
Are there any concerns?  Yes No  Comment:  83. Before the local school division places a child with a disability in a private school or facility, the local school division:	OtherParent inputStudent inputAdministrator interviews
Are there any concerns?  Yes No  Comment:  83. Before the local school division places a child with a disability in a private school or facility, the local school division:  • initiates and conducts a meeting to develop an IEP for the child; and	OtherParent inputStudent inputAdministrator interviewsIEPs
Are there any concerns?  Yes No  Comment:  83. Before the local school division places a child with a disability in a private school or facility, the local school division:  • initiates and conducts a meeting to develop an IEP for the child; and • ensures that a representative of a private special education school or	Parent inputStudent inputAdministrator interviewsIEPsContacts
Are there any concerns?  Yes No  Comment:  83. Before the local school division places a child with a disability in a private school or facility, the local school division:  • initiates and conducts a meeting to develop an IEP for the child; and	OtherParent inputStudent inputAdministrator interviewsIEPs

Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
Comment.	
84. When the private school or facility, at the discretion of the local school division, reviews and revises the child's IEP, the local school division ensures that the parent(s) and a representative from the local school division:	CorrespondenceIEPsParent input
Charles that the parent(s) and a representative from the rotation of the resident	Student input
<ul> <li>are involved in any decision affecting the child's IEP;</li> </ul>	Administrator interviews
<ul> <li>agree to any proposed changes in the program before those changes are implemented; and</li> </ul>	Other
<ul> <li>are involved in any meetings that are held regarding any reevaluation.</li> </ul>	
Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
85. Children eligible for special education who are placed by parent(s) in a	IEP notices
private school, home instructed or home tutored are provided special education and related services in accordance with a services plan to the	IEPs Committee interviews
extent consistent with the local school division's annual determination of	Committee interviews
services to be provided to private school students.	
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	<del></del>
86. Before the local school division makes decisions with respect to services to	IEP notices
be provided to eligible private school children, the local school division	IEPs
consults with representatives of private school children with disabilities to	Committee interviews
determine:	Other
which children will receive services;      what convices will be provided.	
<ul><li>what services will be provided;</li><li>how and where the services will be provided; and</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>how and where the services will be provided, and</li> <li>how the services provided will be evaluated.</li> </ul>	
now the services provided will be evaluated.	
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	

### 8 VAC 20-80-68 DISCIPLINE p. 41

87. Disciplinary removals of students with disabilities from their current placements by school personnel, including removals resulting in changes in the placement, are applied to the same extent as for children without disabilities.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No Yes No	LEA policy and proceduresDiscipline recordsProgram Data (i.e., suspension rates Students withDisabilities/Students withoutDisabilitiesIEPsParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsAdministrator interviewsOther
	Ouici
Comment:	
88. When school personnel remove a student with a disability from the student's current educational setting:  a. each short-term removal for any violation of school rules does not exceed 10 consecutive school days. Additional short-term removals during the same school year for separate incidents of misconduct are applied only when it is determined that the removals do not constitute a change in placement, and each additional removal does not exceed 10 consecutive school days; and  Yes No  b. each removal for dangerous behavior does not exceed 10 consecutive school days, unless a change in placement to an appropriate interim alternative educational setting, not to exceed 45 calendar days, is ordered by a hearing officer or a change is ordered through court proceedings or the parent(s) consents through the IEP process.  Yes No  Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No  Are there any concerns? Yes No	LEA policy and proceduresDiscipline recordsProgram Data (i.e., suspension rates Students with     Disabilities/Students without     DisabilitiesIEPsParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsAdministrator interviewsOther
Comment:	
<ul> <li>89. For purposes of long-term removals of a student with a disability from the student's current educational placement, a change in placement occurs if:</li> <li>a. the removal is for more than 10 consecutive school days; or Yes No</li> <li>b. a series of short-term removals constitutes a pattern because the removals cumulate to more than 10 school days in a year and because of</li> </ul>	LEA policy and proceduresDiscipline recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsAdministrator interviewsOther
factors such as the length of each removal, the total amount of time the student is removed, and the proximity of the removals to one another.  Yes No	

Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
<ul> <li>90. When school personnel order a change in placement because a child carries a weapon to school or school function, or knowingly possesses, sells, solicits the sale of a controlled substance, or uses illegal drugs:</li> <li>a. the child is placed in an appropriate interim alternative educational setting for the same amount of time that a child without a disability would be subject to discipline, but for not more than 45 calendar days, unless</li> </ul>	LEA policy and proceduresDiscipline recordsIEPsIEP team meeting minutesParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsAdministrator interviewsOther
<ol> <li>the LEA and parent agree otherwise, or</li> <li>a change, not to exceed 45 days, is ordered by a hearing officer, or</li> <li>a change is ordered through court proceedings;</li> </ol> Yes No	
b. the placement decision is determined by the IEP team; and	
Yes No	
c. the alternative setting enables the child to continue to progress in the general curriculum, receive services and modifications to meet IEP goals, and includes services and modifications that address the behavior and are designed to prevent the behavior from recurring.	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
91. Before or not later than 10 business days after either first removing the student for more than 10 school days in a year or commencing a removal that constitutes a change in placement, including placements in interim alternative educational settings, the LEA convenes an IEP meeting.  Yes No  a. If the LEA has not yet conducted a functional behavioral assessment (FBA) and implemented a behavioral intervention plan (BIP), the IEP team meets to develop a behavioral assessment plan. (The FBA may be a review of existing data that can be completed at the IEP meeting.)  Yes No  b. Upon completion of the assessments required by the plan, the IEP team reconvenes and develops and implements appropriate behavioral interventions to address the behavior.  Yes No	LEA policy and proceduresDiscipline recordsIEPsIEP team meeting minutesParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsAdministrator interviewsOther

c. If the student has a BIP before engaging in the behavior, the IEP team meets to review the plan and its implementation and to modify the plan and its implementation, as necessary, to address the behavior.	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
<ul> <li>92. If, subsequently, a child with a disability who has a behavior intervention plan and has been removed from the child's current placement for more than 10 school days, is subjected to a removal that does NOT constitute a change in placement, the LEA implements the following procedures:</li> <li>a. The IEP team members review the BIP and its implementation to determine if modifications are necessary. If at least one team member believes modifications are needed, the IEP team meets to modify the plan and its implementation, to the extent the team determines necessary; and</li> <li>Yes No</li> <li>b. The student is provided services to the extent necessary to enable the child to appropriately progress in the general curriculum and advance toward achieving IEP goals, as determined by school personnel in consultation with the child's special education teacher.</li> </ul>	LEA policy and proceduresDiscipline records/BIPsIEPsParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsAdministrator interviewsOther
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No  Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
93. When the LEA contemplates a removal that constitutes a change in placement, including a removal to an interim alternative educational setting,	LEA policy and procedures Discipline records
a. notifies the parent(s) of that decision and provides the parent(s) with the procedural safeguards notice not later than the date on which the decision to take the action is made; and  Yes No	IEPsParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsAdministrator interviewsOther
<ul> <li>b. convenes the IEP team and other qualified personnel immediately, if possible, but not later than 10 school days after the date on which the decision to take the action is made. The IEP team and other qualified personnel review the relationship between the student's disability and the behavior subject to the disciplinary action.</li> <li>Yes No</li> </ul>	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	

Comment:	
94. In carrying out the manifestation determination review, the IEP team and other qualified personnel first consider, in terms of the behavior subject to the disciplinary action, all relevant information, including:	LEA policy and proceduresDiscipline recordsManifestation review minutes
<ul> <li>evaluation and diagnostic results, including information supplied by the parent(s) of the student;</li> <li>observations of the student; and</li> <li>the student's IEP and placement.</li> </ul>	IEPsParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviews
Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No  Yes No	Administrator intervie ws Other
Comment:	
<ul> <li>95. In concluding that a student's behavior is not a manifestation of the student's disability, the IEP team determines that all of the following standards are met:  <ul> <li>a. in relationship to the behavior subject to disciplinary action, the student's IEP and placement were appropriate, and the special education services, supplementary aids and services, and behavioral intervention strategies were provided consistent with the student's IEP and placement;  <ul> <li>Yes No</li> </ul> </li> <li>b. the student's disability did not impair the student's ability to understand the impact and consequences of the behavior subject to disciplinary action; and  <ul> <li>Yes No</li> </ul> </li> <li>c. the student's disability did not impair the ability of the student to control the behavior.  <ul> <li>Yes No</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No</li> </ul>	LEA policy and proceduresDiscipline recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsAdministrator interviewsOther
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
<ul> <li>96. When conducting a manifestation determination review, if the IEP team and other qualified personnel determine that the manifestation standards are NOT met:</li> <li>a. the team concludes that the behavior is a manifestation of the student's disability;</li> <li>Yes No</li> </ul>	LEA policy and proceduresDiscipline recordsIEP Team meeting minutesIEPsParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsAdministrator interviewsOther

b. the student is removed from the student's educational placement, only through the IEP team process or through placement in an interim	
educational setting in accordance with regulations; and	
Yes No	
c. the IEP team develops or modifies strategies, including positive behavioral interventions and supports, to address the behavior.	
Yes No	
d. the IEP team takes immediate steps to remedy those deficiencies identified in the student's IEP or placement.	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	<u> </u>
97. If it is found that the behavior is not a manifestation of the student's disability and disciplinary procedures used with nondisabled students are applied, the child continues to be provided with services to the extent that enables the child to appropriately progress in the general curriculum and advance toward IEP goals, as determined by the child's IEP team.  Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	LEA policy and proceduresDiscipline recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsAdministrator interviewsProcedural safeguardsOther
Comment:	
Comment:	
Comment:	
98. When the public agency initiates disciplinary procedures applicable to all children, the agency ensures that the special education and disciplinary records of a child with a disability are transmitted for consideration by the person(s) making the final determination regarding disciplinary action.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Yes No  Are there any concerns?  Yes No	LEA policy and proceduresDiscipline recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsAdministrator interviewsOther
98. When the public agency initiates disciplinary procedures applicable to all children, the agency ensures that the special education and disciplinary records of a child with a disability are transmitted for consideration by the person(s) making the final determination regarding disciplinary action.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Yes No  Are there any concerns?  Yes No	Discipline recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsAdministrator interviews
98. When the public agency initiates disciplinary procedures applicable to all children, the agency ensures that the special education and disciplinary records of a child with a disability are transmitted for consideration by the person(s) making the final determination regarding disciplinary action.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Yes No  Are there any concerns?  Yes No	Discipline recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsAdministrator interviews
98. When the public agency initiates disciplinary procedures applicable to all children, the agency ensures that the special education and disciplinary records of a child with a disability are transmitted for consideration by the person(s) making the final determination regarding disciplinary action.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Yes No  Are there any concerns?  Yes No	Discipline recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsAdministrator interviews

<ul> <li>the behavior or performance of the student demonstrated the need for special education and related services;</li> </ul>	
Yes No	
c. the parent has requested an evaluation to determine eligibility for special education and related services; or	
Yes No	
d. a teacher of the student or school personnel has expressed concern about the behavior or performance of the student to the director of special education or to other personnel in accordance with the LEA's child find or special education referral system.	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
100. If a request is made for an evaluation of a child during the time period in which the child is subject to disciplinary measures, the evaluation is conducted in an expedited manner, and the student remains in the educational placement determined by school personnel, which can include suspension or expulsion without educational services.	LEA policy and proceduresParent inputStudent inputDis cipline recordsIEPsOther
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
101. When reporting a crime committed by a child with a disability, the LEA ensures that copies of the child's special education and disciplinary records are transmitted (with written parental consent) for consideration by the appropriate authorities to whom it reports the crime.	LEA policy and proceduresDiscipline recordsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputLaw enforcement interviewLetter of transmittal
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	Other
Comment:	

# 8 VAC 20-80-70 PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS p. 45 A. Opportunity to Examine Records

102. The LEA establishes, maintains and implements procedural safeguards	LEA policy and procedures
such that:	Student records
	IEPs
a. parent(s) are provided an opportunity to inspect and review all	Parent input
education records with respect to the identification, evaluation,	Student input
educational placement of the child and the provision of a free	Administrator input
appropriate public education;	Complaint files
Yes No	Other
b. parent(s) are provided an opportunity to participate in meetings with	
respect to the identification, evaluation and educational placement of the	
child and the provision of a free appropriate public education to the	
child, including notify ing the parents of the meeting early enough to	
participate in the meeting (notice requirements # 68 in IEP section); and	
Voc. No.	
Yes No	
c. parent(s) are members of the IEP team or any CSA team that makes	
decisions on the educational placement of their child.	
decisions on the educational placement of their clinic.	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
P. Independent Educational Evaluation (IEE)	
B. Independent Educational Evaluation (IEE)	LEA policy and procedures
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request,	LEA policy and procedures
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs,	Student records
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request,	Student recordsIEPs
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs,	Student recordsIEPsParent input
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs,	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent input
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs, including the location of the evaluation and qualifications of the examiner.	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator input
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs, including the location of the evaluation and qualifications of the examiner.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Yes No	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint files
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs, including the location of the evaluation and qualifications of the examiner.	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator input
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs, including the location of the evaluation and qualifications of the examiner.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint files
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs, including the location of the evaluation and qualifications of the examiner.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Yes No	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint files
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs, including the location of the evaluation and qualifications of the examiner.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint files
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs, including the location of the evaluation and qualifications of the examiner.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint filesOther
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs, including the location of the evaluation and qualifications of the examiner.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No  Comment:  104. When parent(s) disagree with an evaluation conducted by the LEA and	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint filesOther
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs, including the location of the evaluation and qualifications of the examiner.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No  Yes No  Comment:  104. When parent(s) disagree with an evaluation conducted by the LEA and request an independent educational evaluation at public expense, the local	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint filesOther
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs, including the location of the evaluation and qualifications of the examiner.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No  Comment:  104. When parent(s) disagree with an evaluation conducted by the LEA and	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint filesOther
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs, including the location of the evaluation and qualifications of the examiner.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No  Comment:  Comment:  104. When parent(s) disagree with an evaluation conducted by the LEA and request an independent educational evaluation at public expense, the local educational agency, without delay either:	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint filesOther LEA policy and proceduresStudent recordsIEPsParent input
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs, including the location of the evaluation and qualifications of the examiner.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No  Yes No  Comment:  104. When parent(s) disagree with an evaluation conducted by the LEA and request an independent educational evaluation at public expense, the local	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint filesOther LEA policy and proceduresStudent recordsIEPsParent inputStudent input
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs, including the location of the evaluation and qualifications of the examiner.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No  Comment:  Comment:  104. When parent(s) disagree with an evaluation conducted by the LEA and request an independent educational evaluation at public expense, the local educational agency, without delay either:	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint filesOther LEA policy and proceduresStudent recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator input
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs, including the location of the evaluation and qualifications of the examiner.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No  Comment:  104. When parent(s) disagree with an evaluation conducted by the LEA and request an independent educational evaluation at public expense, the local educational agency, without delay either:  • initiates a due process hearing to show that its evaluation is appropriate;	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint filesOther
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs, including the location of the evaluation and qualifications of the examiner.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No  Yes No  Comment:  Comment:  104. When parent(s) disagree with an evaluation conducted by the LEA and request an independent educational evaluation at public expense, the local educational agency, without delay either:  • initiates a due process hearing to show that its evaluation is appropriate; or  • ensures that an independent evaluation is provided at public expense, unless the LEA demonstrates in a hearing that the evaluation obtained	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint filesOther LEA policy and proceduresStudent recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator input
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs, including the location of the evaluation and qualifications of the examiner.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No  Yes No  Comment:  104. When parent(s) disagree with an evaluation conducted by the LEA and request an independent educational evaluation at public expense, the local educational agency, without delay either:  • initiates a due process hearing to show that its evaluation is appropriate; or  • ensures that an independent evaluation is provided at public expense,	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint filesOther
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs, including the location of the evaluation and qualifications of the examiner.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No  Yes No  Comment:  Comment:  initiates a due process hearing to show that its evaluation is appropriate; or  ensures that an independent evaluation is provided at public expense, unless the LEA demonstrates in a hearing that the evaluation obtained by the parent does not meet local educational agency criteria.	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint filesOther
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs, including the location of the evaluation and qualifications of the examiner.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No  Yes No  Comment:  104. When parent(s) disagree with an evaluation conducted by the LEA and request an independent educational evaluation at public expense, the local educational agency, without delay either:  • initiates a due process hearing to show that its evaluation is appropriate; or  • ensures that an independent evaluation is provided at public expense, unless the LEA demonstrates in a hearing that the evaluation obtained by the parent does not meet local educational agency criteria.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Yes No	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint filesOther
103. The LEA provides the parent(s) of a child with a disability, upon request, information about where IEEs may be obtained and criteria for IEEs, including the location of the evaluation and qualifications of the examiner.  Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No Yes Yes No Yes	Student recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint filesOther

Comment:	
105. The LEA considers the results of any IEE that meets the LEA's criteria when making decisions regarding a free appropriate education for the child.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No Yes No	LEA policy and proceduresStudent recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint filesOther
Comment:	
Prior Notice	
a. proposes to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child, (including graduation with a standard or advanced studies diploma) or the provision of a free appropriate public education for the child; or  b. refuses to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child, or the provision of a free appropriate public education for the child, or the provision of a free appropriate public education for the child.	LEA policy and proceduresNoticeStudent recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint filesOther
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
a. a description of the action proposed or refused by the LEA;  Yes No  b. an explanation of the LEA's proposal or refusal to take the action;	LEA policy and proceduresNoticeStudent recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint files
Yes No  c. a description of any other options the local educational agency considered and the reasons for the rejection of those options;  Yes No	Other
<ul> <li>d. a description of each evaluation procedure, test, record, or report the local educational agency used as a basis for the proposed or refused action;</li> <li>Yes No</li> </ul>	

e. a description of any other factors that are relevant to the local educational agency's proposal or refusal;	
Yes No	
f. a statement that parent(s) of a child with a disability have protection under the procedural safeguards of this chapter, and if the notice is not an initial referral for evaluation, the means by which a copy of a description of the procedural safeguards can be obtained; and	
Yes No	
g. sources for the parent(s) to contact in order to obtain assistance in understanding the provisions of procedural safeguards.	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
108. The written notice is written in language understandable to the general public, and provided in the native language of the parent(s) or other mode of communication used by the parent(s).  Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	LEA policy and proceduresNoticeStudent recordsIEPsParent inputStudent inputAdministrator inputComplaint filesOther
Comment:	
109. When the native language or other mode of communication of the parent(s) is not a written language, the LEA takes steps to ensure that:	LEA policy and procedures
a. the notice is translated orally or by other means to parent(s) in their native language or mode of communication;	Student recordsIEPsParent input
Yes No	Student inputAdministrator input
b. the parent(s) understand the content of the notice; and	Complaint files Other
Yes No	
c. there is written evidence that the requirements of <b>a</b> and <b>b</b> above are met.	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	

Comment:	
110. A copy of the procedural safeguards available to the parent(s) of a child with a disability is given to parent(s) at a minimum upon:	LEA policy and procedures
a. initial referral for evaluation;	IEPs Parent input
Yes No	Student input
b. each notification of an IEP meeting;	Complaint files Other
Yes No c. reevaluation of the child;	
c. reevaluation of the child;  Yes No	
d. receipt of a request for due process hearing; and	
Yes No	
e. notification of a decision to take disciplinary action when the LEA is contemplating a removal that constitutes a change in placement.	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
Comment.	
111. The procedural safeguards notice includes a full explanation of all procedural safeguards relating to:	Content of noticeAdapted state's model procedural
<ul> <li>independent educational evaluation;</li> </ul>	safeguard noticeParent input
• prior written notice;	Student input
• parental consent;	Administrator input
<ul> <li>access to educational records;</li> </ul>	Other
<ul> <li>opportunity to present complaints and to initiate due process hearings;</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>the child's placement during pendency of due process proceedings;</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>procedures for students who are subject to placement in an interim alternative educational setting;</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>requirements for unilateral placement by parent(s) of children in private schools at public expense;</li> </ul>	
schools at public expense; • mediation;	
<ul> <li>schools at public expense;</li> <li>mediation;</li> <li>due process hearings, including requirements for disclosure of evaluation results and recommendations;</li> </ul>	
schools at public expense;  mediation;  due process hearings, including requirements for disclosure of evaluation results and recommendations;  civil actions;	
<ul> <li>schools at public expense;</li> <li>mediation;</li> <li>due process hearings, including requirements for disclosure of evaluation results and recommendations;</li> <li>civil actions;</li> <li>attorneys' fees; and</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>schools at public expense;</li> <li>mediation;</li> <li>due process hearings, including requirements for disclosure of evaluation results and recommendations;</li> <li>civil actions;</li> </ul>	

Comment:	
112. Informed parental consent is obtained before:	Student records Written consent
<ul> <li>a. conducting an initial evaluation or reevaluation, including a functional behavioral assessment if such assessment is not a review of existing data conducted at an IEP meeting;</li> <li>Yes No</li> </ul>	Parent inputStudent inputOther
b. any change in identification of a child with a disability;	
Yes No	
c. initial provision of special education and related services to a child with a disability and any revision to the child's IEP services;	
Yes No	
d. any partial or complete termination of special education and related services, except for graduation with a standard or advanced studies diploma; and	
Yes No	
e. accessing a parent's private insurance proceeds.	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
Dougatel Dights Descarding Dublic on Drivets Insurance	
Parental Rights Regarding Public or Private Insurance  113. When the LEA uses Medicaid or other public insurance to pay for services required, as permitted under the public insurance program, the LEA provides notice to the parent(s) that:	Parent inputStudent inputWritten consent
<ul> <li>they are not required to sign up for public insurance in order for their child to receive a free appropriate public education;</li> </ul>	Other
<ul> <li>they are not required to incur out-of-pocket expenses, such as payment of a deductible or co-pay amount incurred in filing a claim for services; and</li> </ul>	
• the LEA may not use a child's benefit under a public insurance program if that use would (i) decrease available lifetime coverage or any other insurance benefit; (ii) result in the family paying for services that would otherwise be covered by public insurance program and that are required for the child outside of the time the child is in school; (iii) increase premiums or lead to the discontinuation of insurance; or (iv) risk loss of	
eligibility for home and community-based waivers, based on aggregate health-related expenditures.	
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	

Comment:	
114. When the LEA uses private insurance to pay for services, the LEA:	Parent inputStudent input
<ul> <li>obtains parental consent each time it proposes to access the parent's insurance;</li> </ul>	Written consent Other
<ul> <li>informs the parent that their refusal to permit the LEA to access their private insurance does not relieve the LEA of its responsibility to ensure that all required services are provided at no cost to parent(s); and</li> <li>obtains parental consent to release educational information to the</li> </ul>	
private insurance company for billing purposes.	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
Confidentiality of Information	
<ul><li>115. When parent(s) request to review or inspect any education records relating to their child, the LEA:</li><li>a. permits the parent to inspect and review unless the LEA has been advised that the parent does not have the authority under applicable state</li></ul>	Parent inputStudent inputAdministrators interviewsLEA policy and proceduresOther
law governing such matters as guardianship, separation, and divorce; and	
Yes No	
<ul> <li>b. complies with each request without unnecessary delay and before any meeting regarding an IEP or any hearing, and in no case more than 45 days after the request is made.</li> <li>Yes No</li> </ul>	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
116. The LEA affords parent(s) the following rights:	Parent input
<ul> <li>a. the right to a response from the LEA to a reasonable request for explanations and interpretations of the records;</li> </ul>	Administrators interviewsLEA policy and proceduresOther
b. the right to request that the LEA provide copies of the records containing the information if failure to provide those copies would effectively prevent the parent form exercising the right to inspect and review records; and	
c. the right to have a representative of the parent inspect and review the records.	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	

Comment:	
a. a record is kept of parties, except parent(s) and authorized employees of the LEA, obtaining access to education records collected, maintained, or used, including the name of the party, the date of access, and the purpose of the access;  Yes No	Parent inputStudent inputAdministrators interviewsLEA policy and proceduresOther
<ul> <li>b. when an education record includes information on more than one child, the parent(s) of those children inspect and review only the information relating to their child or are informed of the specific information requested;</li> <li>Yes No</li> </ul>	
c. upon request, parent(s) are provided a list of the types and locations of education records collected, maintained, or used by the LEA; and	
Yes No  d. if a fee is charged for copies of records, the fee does not prevent parent(s) from exercising their right to inspect and review those records. (A fee is not charged to search or retrieve information.)	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
118. When parent(s) believe that information in the education records collected, maintained, or used by the LEA is inaccurate or misleading or violates the privacy or other rights of the child and request the LEA to amend the information, the LEA:  amends the information in accordance with the request within a reasonable time of the request; or informs the parent(s) of the rights as bearing.	Parent inputStudent inputAdministrators interviewsLEA policy and proceduresOther
parent(s) of the right to a hearing.	
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	<u> </u>
119. The LEA obtains written parental consent before disclosing personally identifiable information to anyone other than school officials with legitimate educational interest.	Parent inputStudent inputStudent recordsAdministrators interviews
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	LEA policy and procedures

omment:	
20. The LEA:  a. protects the confidentiality of personally identifiable information at	Parent inputStudent inputStudent records
collection, storage, disclosure, and destruction stages;  Yes No	Administrators interviewsLEA policy and proceduresOther
b. assigns one official the responsibility for ensuring confidentiality of personally identifiable information;	
Yes No	
<ul> <li>provides training or instruction to all persons collecting, maintaining, or using personally identifiable information on the state's policies and procedures for ensuring confidentiality of information;</li> </ul>	
Yes No	
d. maintains, for public inspection, a current listing of names and positions of employees within the agency who have access to personally identifiable information;	
Yes No	
e. informs parent(s) when personally identifiable information collected, maintained, or used is no longer needed to provide educational services to the child, and destroys information, at the request of parent(s).	
Yes No	
Are there any concerns?  Yes No Yes No	
Comment:	
121. The LEA's management of education records ensures that parents are permitted to review and inspect all education records relating to his/her child.	Parent inputStudent inputStudent records Administrators interviews
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No  Are there any concerns? Yes No	LEA policy and procedures Other
Comment:	
Comment:	

<ul> <li>122. The LEA provides annual notice to parents and eligible students on their rights under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) through means that are most likely to inform parents and eligible students who are disabled. The annual notice includes the following rights:</li> <li>a. to inspect and review the student's education records</li> <li>b. to seek amendment of the student's education records that the parent or eligible student believes to be inaccurate, misleading, or otherwise in violation of the student's privacy rights;</li> <li>c. consent to disclosures of personally identifiable information contained in the student's education records, except to the extent that the Act authorized disclosure without consent; and</li> </ul>	Annual NotificationLEA policy and proceduresOther
d. file with the Department a complaint concerning alleged failures by the educational agency to comply with the requirements of FERPA.	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No  Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
8 VAC 20-80-72 TRANSFER OF RIGHTS TO STUDENTS WHO REACH To 123. The LEA notifies the parent(s) and the student that:	Parent inputStudent input
a. educational rights under IDEA will transfer from the parent to the student upon the student reaching the age of majority. Such notification is given at least one year prior to the student's eighteenth birthday; and  Yes No	Student recordsAdministrators interviewsLEA policy and proceduresOther
b. procedures exist for appointing the parent(s), or if the parent(s) are not available, another appropriate individual to represent the educational interests of the student, if the student is determined not to have the ability to provide informed consent with respect to the educational program.  Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
8 VAC 20-80-74 MEDIATION p. 51	
124. The LEA ensures that the parent(s) of a child with a disability are informed of the option of mediation to resolve disputes involving the LEA's proposal to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child or the provision of a free appropriate public education to the child, at a minimum whenever a due process hearing is requested.	LEA policy and proceduresStudent recordsParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsAdministrator interviews
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No	Other

re there any concerns? Yes No	
omment:	
V. C. A. A. A. E. C. D. W. D. A. C. D. C.	
3 VAC 20-80-76 DUE PROCESS HEARING p. 52	
25. The LEA:	LEA policy and procedures Copy of list of hearing officers
<ul> <li>maintains a list of the persons serving as hearing officers, which includes a statement of the qualifications of each officer;</li> </ul>	Parent inputStudent inputTeacher interviews
Yes No	Administrator interviews Other
<ul> <li>b. provides the parent(s) a form for use to provide notice that they are requesting a due process hearing and a copy of procedural safeguards;</li> </ul>	Oulei
Yes No	
<ul> <li>maintains the confidentiality of the completed notice form and its contents;</li> </ul>	
Yes No	
<ul> <li>d. ensures that the parent(s) right to a due process hearing is not delayed or denied for failure to complete the notice;</li> </ul>	
Yes No	
e. ensures that a hearing officer is appointed within five business days of a request for a nonexpedited hearing and three business days of a request for an expedited hearing;	
Yes No	
f. informs parent(s) at the time the request is made of the availability of mediation;	
Yes No	
g. informs parent(s) of any free or low-cost legal and other relevant services when parent(s) request or anytime a hearing is initiated;	
Yes No	
h. assists the hearing officer, upon request, in securing the location and recording equipment for the hearing;	
Yes No	
i. makes timely and necessary responses to the hearing officer;	
Yes No	
j. complies with timelines, orders, and requests of the hearing officer;	
Yes No	
k. maintains a file, which is part of the child's record, containing communications, exhibits, decisions, and the implementation	

	plan, including mediation communications;	
	Yes No	
1.	forwards all necessary communications to VDOE and parties as required;	
	Yes No	
m.	VDOE when the agency is considering an appeal of the decision or when the decision of the hearing officer has been	
	appealed to court;  Yes No	
n.	forwards the record of the due process proceedings to the appropriate court for any case that is appealed; and	
	Yes No	
0.	develops and submits implementation plans that state how and when the decisions or agreements will be put into action within 45 calendar days of the rendering of decisions or the withdrawals of hearing requests.  Yes No	
T- 41- 11:4-		
Are there any	y meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No y concerns? Yes No	
Comment:		
<ul> <li>ind         wit</li> <li>em</li> <li>ind         spe</li> <li>ind</li> </ul>	s are not conducted by: lividuals with a personal or professional interest that would conflict th the person's objectivity in the hearing; ployees of the LEA or VDOE; lividuals who represent schools or parent(s) in matters involving ecial education or disability rights; or lividuals who are employees of any parent rights agency or ganization or disability rights agency or organization.	LEA policy and proceduresStudent recordsParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsAdministrator interviewsOther
Is the locality Are there any	y meeting the requirement? Yes No y concerns? Yes No	
Comment:		
105 -		
officer, d the stude a. the p b. if pr	n cases where a change in placement is ordered by a hearing during the pendency of any administrative or judicial proceeding, ent remains in the current educational placement unless:  arent or parent(s) of the student and the LEA agree otherwise; or occeeding involves initial admission to public school, the student, a consent of the parent(s) is placed in the public school until	LEA policy and proceduresStudent recordsParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsAdministrator interviewsOther
com	pletion of the proceeding; or hearing officer agrees with the student's parent(s) that a change	

Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
8 VAC 20-80-78 COMPLAINT PROCEDURES p. 59	
<ul> <li>a. responding to the VDOE regarding complaints filed against the LEA within the established timelines following the receipt of notice of the complaints; and  Yes No</li> <li>b. correcting violations not to exceed 30 business days unless circumstances warrant otherwise.</li> </ul>	LEA policy and proceduresStudent recordsParent inputStudent inputTeacher interviewsAdministrator interviewsOther
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No  Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
<ul> <li>8 VAC 20-80-80 SURROGATE PARENT PROCEDURES p. 60</li> <li>129. The LEA:</li> <li>a. appoints a surrogate parent for a child who is suspected of having or determined to have a disability when no parent can be identified or the LEA, after reasonable efforts, cannot discover the whereabouts of a</li> </ul>	LEA policy and proceduresSurrogate parent recordsPolicies and proceduresOther
parent. The surrogate parent represents the child in all matters relating to the identification, evaluation, educational placement or the provision of a free appropriate public education to the child; and  Yes No	
<ul> <li>b. develops and maintains a list of individuals within its jurisdiction who are qualified to serve as a surrogate parent(s)</li> <li>Yes No</li> </ul>	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
130. The LEA has procedures for:	LEA policy and proceduresSurrogate parent records
a. determining whether the child needs a surrogate parent;	Policies and procedures

	1
Yes No	
<ul> <li>b. assigning a surrogate parent to an eligible child, and notifying in writing, after the appointment;</li> <li>1) the child with a disability, as appropriate to the disability;</li> <li>2) the surrogate parent-appointee;</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>3) the person charged with responsibility for the child; and</li><li>4) the custodial state agency charged with the responsibility for the child; and</li></ul>	
Yes No	
c. changing or terminating the assignment of a surrogate parent before that surrogate parent's appointment has expired.	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
131. The LEA ensures that a person appointed as a surrogate:	LEA policy and procedures
	Surrogate parent records
<ul> <li>has no interests that conflicts with the interest of the child;</li> <li>has knowledge and skills that ensure adequate representation of the child (must have completed a LEA's approved training session prior to representing the child);</li> </ul>	Policies and proceduresOther
• is not an employee of the VDOE, or any other agency involved in the education or care of the child;	
<ul> <li>is an adult; and</li> <li>resides in the same general geographic area as the child, whenever possible.</li> </ul>	
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
8 VAC 20-80-90 LEA ADMINISTRATION AND GOVERNANCE p.	62
132. The LEA has a program and procedures for the development and implementation of a comprehensive personnel development plan that is	Annual planPolicies and procedures
designed to ensure an adequate supply of qualified special education,	Personnel development plan
regular education, and related services personnel, including paraprofessionals, and meets the requirements of the state improvement plan relating to personnel development.	Other
Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Yes No	
Are there any concerns? Yes No	

Comment:		
Level Address Committee		
133. Public notice of the LEA's local advisory committee for special education is published annually listing the names of committee members and including a description of ways in which interested parties may express their views to the committee.  Is the locality meeting the requirement?  Are there any concerns?  Yes No Yes No	Parent inputStudent inputNoticeOther	
Comment:		
Comment.		
<ul><li>134. The local advisory committee:</li><li>a. meets at least quarterly and makes meetings open to the public;</li><li>Yes No</li></ul>	LAC meeting scheduleLAC meeting minutesBoard agendasBoard minutes LAC interview	
<del></del>	Other	
<ul> <li>advises the local school division of unmet needs in the education of children with disabilities;</li> <li>Yes No</li> </ul>		
c. assists the local school division in the formulation and development of plans for improving performance of children with disabilities;		
Yes No		
d. participates in the development of priorities and strategies for meeting the identified needs of children with disabilities;		
Yes No		
e. submits periodic reports and recommendations regarding the education of children with disabilities to the division superintendent for transmission to the local school board;		
Yes No		
f. assists the local school division in interpreting plans to the community for meeting the special needs of children with disabilities for educational services; and		
Yes No		
g. reviews the policies and procedures for the provision of special education and related services prior to submission to the local school board and VDOE.  Yes No		
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No		

Comment:	
Regional Programs	
135. Regional special education programs are provided in accordance with the least restrictive environment requirements.	IEPsPolicies and procedures
Is the locality meeting the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	Other
Comment:	
Transition from Infant and Toddler to Early Childhood	
136. Children participating in early intervention programs under Part C and who will participate in preschool programs under Part B are afforded a smooth and effective transition to the preschool programs, in a manner consistent with the lead agency's Part C policies and procedures; and	Agency interviewsIEPs/IFSPsOther
Yes No	
The local school division participates in transition planning conferences when notified by the designated local Part C early intervention agency.	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement? Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	
Programs for Children in Regional or Local Jails	
137. Children with disabilities in regional or local jails are provided services in accordance with the requirements.	Agency interviewsIEPsOther
<ul> <li>a. If the local school division has a regional or local jail within its jurisdiction, the LEA provides special education and related services to all eligible children with disabilities incarcerated in the jail for more than 10 days; and</li> <li>Yes No</li> </ul>	
If the local school division has a regional or local jail in its jurisdiction, the LEA establishes an interagency agreement with the jail administrator addressing staffing and security issues associated with the provision of special education and related services in the jail.	
Yes No	
Is the locality meeting each part of the requirement Yes No Are there any concerns? Yes No	
Comment:	